# OFFICER DECISION REPORT - TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER (TRO)

# j

#### APPROVAL TO PUBLICLY ADVERTISE THE TRO

PREPARED BY: Traffic Management Team, Highways and Traffic Group

TITLE OF REPORT: North West Outer Bath Area

PROPOSAL: Parking Restrictions

SCHEME REF No: 25-024

REPORT AUTHOR: Traffic Management Team KG

# 1. <u>DELEGATION</u>

The delegation to be exercised in this report is contained within **Part 3**, **Section 4** of the Constitution under the **Delegation of Functions to Officers**, as follows:

Section A	The Chief Executive, Strategic Directors, Divisional Directors and Heads of Service have delegated power to take any decision falling within their area of responsibility"
Section B	Without prejudice to the generality of this, Officers are authorised to: serve any notices and make, amend or revoke any orders falling within his/her area of responsibility.
Section D9	An Officer to whom a power, duty or function is delegated may nominate or authorise another Officer to exercise that power, duty or function, provided that Officer reports to or is responsible to the delegator.

For the purposes of this report, the Director of Place Management and the Head of Highways Delivery holds the delegated power to make, amend or revoke any Orders.

## 2. **LEGAL AUTHORITY**

This proposal is made in accordance with the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, which under Section 1 provides, generally, for Orders to be made for the following reasons, and in the case of this report specifically for the reason(s) shown below:

(a)	for avoiding danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or for preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising, or	Х
(b)	for preventing damage to the road or to any building on or near the road, or	
(c)	for facilitating the passage on the road or any other road of any class of traffic (including pedestrians), or	Χ
(d)	for preventing the use of the road by vehicular traffic of a kind which, or its use by	

	vehicular traffic in a manner which, is unsuitable having regard to the existing	
	character of the road or adjoining property,	
(e)	(without prejudice to the generality of paragraph (d) above) for preserving the	
(0)	character of the road in a case where it is specially suitable for use by persons	
	on horseback or on foot, or	
(f)	for preserving or improving the amenities of the area through which the road	<b>V</b>
(')	runs, or	^
(g)	for any of the purposes specified in paragraphs (a) to (c) of subsection (1) of	
(9)	for any of the purposes specified in paragraphs (a) to (c) of subsection (1) of section 87 of the Environment Act 1995 (air quality)	

# 3. PROPOSAL

To implement various parking / waiting restrictions around the North West area of Bath as requested by the local Ward Members (of behalf of their residents) or Council Officers.

### 4. REASON

Please refer to the separate Statement of Reasons document attached to this report regarding TRO 25-024.

The Council has had in mind and discharged the duty (as set out in section 122(1) of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984) to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic (including pedestrians) so far as practicable. It has also had regard to the factors which point in favour of imposing additional Double Yellow Line restrictions on the High Street - Weston, Cedric Road, Roseberry Place, Cranwells Park, and Old Newbridge Hill, Bath and imposing Zone 26 Permit Holder parking on Hungerford Road, Bath and imposing a Disabled Parking Bay on Roseberry Place, Bath within the North West area of Bath. It has balanced the various considerations and concluded that it is appropriate to promote these proposed restriction amendments to prevent obstruction of the highway, improve visibility splays at junctions and provide additional on-street parking provision. The Council has also considered and discharged its network management duty under section 16 of the Traffic Management Act 2004. It has concluded that the proposed restrictions are consistent with that duty, having regard to its other policies and objectives.

#### 5. <u>IMPACT ON EQUALITIES</u>

An Equality Impact Assessment has been undertaken in relation to proposed restrictions outlined above, which is available upon request. The Council has had due regard to the needs set out in section 149(1) of the Equality Act 2010. It considers that the proposed Order is consistent with the section 149 public sector equality duty, which it has discharged.

### 6. IMPACT ON HUMAN RIGHTS

The proposals are considered to have a minimal impact on human rights (such as the right to respect for private and family life and the right to peaceful enjoyment of property). However, the Council is entitled to affect these rights where it is in accordance with the law, necessary (in the interests of public safety or economic well-being, to prevent disorder and crime, to protect health, or to protect the rights and freedoms of others), in pursuit of a legitimate aim

and proportionate to do so. The proposal(s) within this report are considered to be in accordance with the law, necessary, in pursuit of a legitimate aim and proportionate.

### 7. SOURCE OF FINANCE

This proposal is being funded by the capital Area Parking Review budget, project code TCJ0009S.

### 8. CONSULTATION REQUIREMENT

The proposal requires consultation with the Chief Constable, Emergency Services, Road Haulage Association, Freight Transport Association (Logistics UK), Parking Services, Waste Services, Ward Members and the Cabinet Member for Highways.

# 9. COMMENTS RECEIVED TO DATE

#### **Chief Constable**

Enforcement of waiting restrictions within the Bath and North East Somerset Council area rests with Bath and North East Somerset Council Parking Services. Consideration regarding the potential displacement of existing parking, and the enforcement needs of these restrictions should be of importance. The proposals should meet the aspirations behind their introduction.

# **Emergency Services**

No comment.

#### **Road Haulage Association**

No comment.

## **Freight Transport Association**

No comment.

#### **Parking Services**

No comment.

### **Waste Services**

No comment.

### **Ward Members**

#### Newbridge:

Cllr Samantha Kelly – No comment.

Cllr Michelle O'Doherty – I am happy to support the 2 plans in Newbridge Ward and particularly plan 2 as I know the resident has real trouble getting in and out of their drive and this would make a material difference to their everyday life.

### Weston:

Cllr Ruth Malloy – No comment.

Cllr Malcolm Treby – No comment.

### Lansdown:

Cllr Mark Elliott – No comment.

Cllr Lucy Hodge - No comment.

#### Kingsmead:

Cllr Paul Roper - No comment.

Cllr George Tomlin - I'm pleased to support the recommendation for Plan 6 as the ward member for Kingsmead.

## **Cabinet Member for Highways:**

Cllr Manda Rigby – No comment.

## 10. RECOMMENDATION

As no significant objections and/or comments have been received following the preliminary consultation described above, the public advertisement of the Traffic Regulation Order should progress.

Date: 27/05/2025

**Neil Terry** 

Traffic Management & Network Manager


## 11. DECISION

As the officer holding the above delegation, I approve the progression of this Traffic Regulation Order.

Date: 28/05/2025

Chris Major Director for Place Management


**Plan 1 – High Street, Weston, Bath** – The No Parking At Any Time restrictions were requested by the Traffic Management Team due to the pavement widening in this location which reduced the width of the highway.



**Plan 2 – Cedric Road, Bath** – The No Parking At Any Time restrictions were requested by the local Ward Member to remove the existing Permit Holder parking bay to improve access for a local resident.



**Plan 3 – Roseberry Place, Bath** – The No Parking At Any Time restrictions and Disabled Parking bay were requested by Parking Services to formalise the existing parking arrangements on-site.



**Plan 4 – Cranwells Park, Bath** – The No Parking At Any Time restrictions were requested by the local Ward Member to prevent obstruction of the highway.



**Plan 5 – Old Newbridge Hill, Bath** – The No Parking At Any Time restrictions were requested by a local resident to prevent obstruction and improve access and visibility at the rear lane entrance to properties on the south eastern side of Old Newbridge Hill.

No Parking At Any Time

OLD Newbridge

Newbridge

**Plan 6 – Hungerford Road, Bath** – The removal of the existing Disabled Parking bay and extension of the Zone 26 Permit Holder parking on the south western side of Hungerford Road was requested

HUNGERFORD ROAD

Remove Disabled Bay / Make Permit Rarking

RB

PEB, FB