ResponderType	Hanger Location	FeedbackCategory	Level of Support	FeedbackText
				The National Trust is a conservation charity that tooks after nature, beauty and history for the nation – for everyone,
				for ever. The Trust is supportive of active and sustainable travel, including measures to support cycling. However,
				we also have a duty to look after the special places in our care. The Trust has owned Bath Assembly Rooms since
				1931 and in March 2023 we took on its day-to-day management. The Trust's ownership includes the western
				forecourt in front of the Assembly Rooms. The Assembly Rooms is a grade I listed building that's a key part of the
				UNESCO World Heritage city of Bath. The Assembly Rooms were at the heart of fashionable Georgian society, the
				perfect venue for entertainment. When completed in 1771, they were described as 'the most noble and elegant of
				any in the kingdom'. The Trust is currently working on a new visitor experience which will enable the Assembly
				Rooms to continue to be a place for assembly, connection and entertainment, welcoming in Bath's visitors and
				residents. In March 2025 work began on an ambitious project to transform Bath Assembly Rooms and its exterior
				spaces, working with a large construction and conservation team to renovate and restore the building. In response
				to the proposed cycle hangar (western end of Alfred Street), we have significant concerns for a number of reasons,
				as set out below: • Effects on historic environment, character and setting – We question theappropriateness of
				siting the proposed cycle hangar at the edge of the western forecourt of the grade I listed Assembly Rooms. The
				structure would be much larger, and very different in its appearance, compared to the (listed) phone box, seating
				and comms cabinets against the wall in this location. We would prefer to see any changes in the western forecourt
				area to be part of a collaborative and holistic design process (see fourth bullet point below). • Effects on
				pedestrians and visitors – Based on the cycle hangar as drawn in the consultation map, it would be wide structure
				that would 'jut out' from the wall. It looks like the cycle hangar would create a 'bottle neck' and restrict access into
				the pedestrianised area / western forecourt in front of the Assembly Rooms, including for wheelchair users. •
				Effects during Assembly Rooms refurbishment – The same point as the above, but more so, applies during the
				building works (due to take place between now and December 2026). As per the attached plan, the Trust is seeking
				to use part of the public realm for a site office and welfare area, surrounding by hoarding. An access corridor will
				be maintained – but this would be blocked by cycle hangar as proposed. • Implications for 'Reconnecting the
				Rooms' project (external works) – The redesign of the forecourt is currently in progress in collaboration with the
				B&NES Regeneration team and with community partners. The forecourt will be developed in line with placemaking
				ambitions to enhance the unique characteristics of the site, with improved benches, seating, lighting, a focus point
				in the centre for social gathering, and increased green space/planting. The aim is to create a vibrant cultural space
Other	Alfred Street	Safety,Access,Environmental,Other,Disturbance	WhollyObject	to provide sense of community, sefety and connection. The proposed site for the cycle hander would impact this
				It is a great initiative to have more bike hangars around Bath. Car traffic is already an issue and the more people
				use alternative methods of travel, the better. I bekive current bike storage is at full for more are urgently needed.
				Bath has many residents living in Flats with limited or hard-to-access storage for bikes. It is, therefore, essential to
				offer residents a place to safely store their bikes. In my opinion locations are carefully selected to the purpose and
				equally to reduce disruption to car parking.
Resident	All	Parking,Traffic	WhollySupport	
				These storage units are an eyesore especially in a city with Bath's architecture.
Resident	All	Safety,Traffic	WhollyObject	These storage units are an eyesore especially in a city with bath 3 architecture.
				I don't believe that the cycle hangers are in keeping with the local architecture, and having seen them in use
				elsewhere I haven't seen any significant use of the facilities. The one in Park Place is almost never used.
Resident	All	Other	WhollyObject	etsewhere i naven i seen any significant use of the facilities. The one in Park Place is almost never used.
				I support the addition of cycle hangars to these areas. The sites look sensible. Ideally there would be even more in
Daaidant	AII	Doubling Environmental	Mh allu Cummant	Twerton and the east of Bath
Resident	All	Parking, Environmental	WhollySupport	
				Secure and convenient cycle storage is very important to help encourage more people to cycle for daily trips, and
				this only works if everyone has access to one of these cycle hangars near to where they live. I therefore fully
Resident	All	Parking	WhollyObject	support this proposal.
i iodiuciit	J. 111	II arrang	THIORY ODJECT	

Resident	All	Safety,Parking,Access,Disturbance,Other	WhollyObject	24-024
Business	Beaufort East	Parking	WhollyObject	I am the manager at the Bath Cat Clinic, a veterinary surgery located in Beaufort East. Parking has always been an issue for our clients, some of whom are elderly or disabled and cannot manage to carry their cat baskets very far. People already park here in the day and cycle in or use scooters, taking advantage of the free parking in our area, so the addition of a bike hangar may encourage more people to do so. Whilst I am in favour of cycling, this location has potential to impact negatively on our business as we have no dedicated parking.
Business	Beaufort East	Parking	PartlyObject	I am concerned that this proposal will reduce the amount of parking spaces available for staff and clients of Bath Cat Clinic (which are already in short supply).
				I object to the proposal to site a bike hangar in Beaufort East. This will reduce the parking space available for residents when parking space is already tight. Residents in Beaufort East have cars, cycling is not an alternative mode of transport to the car for practical and safety reasons. This could create wellbeing and practical issues. (The answer is not residents parking - retain the status quo). The hangars will not get usage and will simply be a waste of money. Beaufort East is a Georgian terrace within the gateway to Bath. The hangars are unsightly and will not align to the requirements generally put upon householders to maintain the Georgian aspect. The hangars are windowless and permanent. This creates a safety issue.
Resident	Beaufort East	Parking,Safety,Access,Other	WhollyObject	
Resident	Burlington Street	Parking	PartlySupport	I live in Burlington St and worried how many car parking spaces you will be taking up placing a cycle storage in this street. Looking at the one at the top of Burlington St and Portland Place, it looks like it takes up one parking space, with the doors opening on to the pavement. To do this in Burlington St would mean placing the storage unit across more than one parking space. This will then cut down the number of parking spaces in Burlington St. Maybe if it was places further down the street, i.e., in one of the two parallel parking spots or on the other side where there is one parallel parking space. Wondered why Burlington St was chosen.

Resident Cavendish Road Parking, Traffic, Safety, Access, Other Wholly Object				
	Resident	Cavendish Road	Parking, Traffic, Safety, Access, Other	WhollyObject
Resident Cavendish Road Safety, Environmental, Other Wholly Object				

I am the longest serving resident of Cavendish Crescent. To own a property in this Georgian heart of Bath and be custodian of a part of this outstanding architecture in such beautiful surroundings opposite the High Common is a privilege. Is it any wonder tourists flock here to experience this World Heritage City, a status that hung in the balance many years ago when the inappropriately bland Western Riverside development was first conceived. The conservation of our city's Georgian heartland isn't just about the buildings but also the whole street scene and ambience. To introduce these awful metal monstrosities a few yards from one of Bath's celebrated Crescents is a massive mistake aesthetically, even if it will be under a tree. I can only assume this proposal, and other similar decisions looking to tick boxes that will transform Bath, are coming from members of the Council who don't actually appreciate what it means to live as a resident in such cherished surroundings. However, to cover some pragmatic reasons for objecting: 1) To see a cyclist in this area is very unusual. Not because they have no where to store it but because where would they be going? We are so close to the city centre it's easy to commute on foot. Who would want to cycle heading north towards the motorway? As for owning and storing a bicycle to head East or West, going where? The demographics here need to be recognised. Cavendish Crescent and Cavendish Place are affluent areas with mostly older owner occupiers who either walk, take the bus or drive. There is an existing 'ugly piece of street art' in the guise of a cycle hanger in Park Place which a local resident tells me is never used. Indeed I walk into the city frequently and have never seen anyone opening it. 2) This proposal, will not persuade any residents in Cavendish Crescent to forsake their car and take up cycling and yet it will be placed in a position that eliminates a much needed overnight parking space. 3) During the day the 2 parking spaces under the Holm Oak tree are often unoccupied and so cars travelling along Cavendish Road are not always faced with an obstacle, but the hanger will be there 24/7. 4) To exit from Cavendish Crescent in cars, which is always from the south, requires drivers to look northwards up Cavendish Road. The hanger will obscure visibility and therefore safe egress far more than a car, when they are parked there, as you can see through the windows. 5) My wife has made the point that anyone up to no good could easily use the hanger as something to hide behind as that is a dark section of the road, despite the street lamp installed inside the tree! 6) Taking the siting of the hanger in Park Place as an example. It is installed partly jacked up onto the kerbstones, presumably to ensure it doesn't project into the road beyond the white line designating the expected width of a parked car. Under the Holm Oak it will therefore be taking up part of the pavement and cause a partial obstruction to pedestrians passing one another along this continually busy route. I rest my case on behalf of my wife and me, so this feedback constitutes views

RE PROPOSAL FOR CYCLE HANGERS /CAVENDISH ROAD. Please find my objection and comments below. These include: 1.LOCATION- Visual impact in sensitive areas of World Heritage City 2.NO JUSTIFICATION- Questionable validity and aim of the scheme 3.HAZARD- Dangerous locations re car/pedestrian site lines 1. One of the Councils aims is presumably to protect the City of Baths beauty, value, history and attractiveness as a World Heritage Site. It is unquestionable that these BOXES are ugly and totally out of place. Proposals should be sensitive. Should planning permission be sought to place one of these boxes in a front garden near the road, in the Conservation Area of Bath- would that be permitted? Almost certainly not. Location of the Cycle Hangers- There may be parts of Bath where the residents have nowhere to store a bike (even then purchasing a car would almost certainly not be the alternative.) But- where the traditional buildings of Bath all have basements, cellars etc. - such as along Cavendish Road, there are many areas suitable for bike storage. An alternative- IF- such a box in a sensitive area such as Cavendish Road, be justified, it could be easily strategically placed just inside the open green park area opposite, within the fenced landscaped area of High Common. 2. The objective of Cycle hangers has to be truthfully analysed and questioned- would an enthusiastic cyclist purchase an expensive bike knowing the only place for storage is a box outside in the street? Take also the everyday cyclists with nowhere to store their bikewould they in truth be in a position or choose to instead buy and run around in a car. Very doubtful. A cyclists alternative is to walk or take buses, not to buy a car. 3. SAFETY- For pedestrians and vehicle alike- the bulk and size of these boxes inevitably cause a hazard. Visibility is blocked. This is demonstrated in locations such as Park Street and Park Place.

Resident	Cavendish Road	Parking, Safety, Other	WhollyObject	Reasons to note about my strong objection- 1. Impact on elderly and disabled - The proposed hangar's placement would result in the loss of parking in an area with many elderly residents dependent on carers. Unfairly disadvantages and discriminates the elderly and this disproportionately affects vulnerable members of this specific community. 2. Poor consultation process- From an Equality point of view - I have not seen a proper surveys conducted seeking to consider the age demographics of the community. 2. Safety- The hangar's location creates risks such a blocking sightlines. This loss of visibility is a hazard on a street where we have had several accidents. Unlike cars which have windows the hangers block sightlines. Photo of accident on Cavendish Road metres from proposed hangar location attached. 3. Placing hanger on a incline is surely a danger to the cyclists- a car breaking downhill might not stop in time if a cyclist suddenly emerges from the hangar into its path. This would heighten the chance of serious accident on Cavendish Road where speeding is not controlled and where road conditions amplify stopping distances. From an equality, practical, safety and visibility reasons the cycle hangar is clearly more suited to the flat streets at the top and bottom of St's James's Place or the loop in front of Cavendish Crescent.
Resident	Cavendish Road	Other	WhollySupport	I think it would be fine to install bicycle hangars in our area. We need to encourage bike riding.
Resident	Cavendish Road	Parking, Environmental, Financial	WhollyObject	I object to the installation of cycle hangers for for the following reasons: 1. Car parking is often problematic. Any space proposed to be used by the cycle hangers should be made available for car parking. 2. The majority of the properties on Cavendish Road have vaulted storage that may be used for secure cycle storage. 3. Placing cycle hangers in a UNESCO city, in a conservation area & outside of listed properties is utterly inappropriate. 4. The expenditure of public finances for this project is unacceptable.
Resident	Cavendish Road	Parking, Disturbance, Traffic	WhollyObject	Object to another cycle hanger in the local area
Resident	Cavendish Road	Parking, Traffic, Safety, Disturbance, Financial, Environmental, Other	WhollyObject	This response is submitted for myself and on behalf of my Wife and other residents. The proposal is yet another example of the present Council putting forward proposals which are based on inadequate research and/or understanding of local needs; 1. Of course some people do cycle up and down Cavendish Road, but do any of them live in a property fronting Cavendish Road without access to a vault of garage? What is clear is that no-one who is physically unable to cycle further up the hill, will be minded to use the cycle hangar and walk the rest of the way to Sion Hill, Lansdown Crescent or any of the other adjoining roads; It is highly probable that, like the hangar installed in Park Street, this will be yet another "white elephant" and waste of tax payers' money; 2. As a result of the Council's other Traffic Order affecting Cavendish Road there has already been a material reduction in the availability of street parking; presuming that the intention is not to place the hangar on the pavement where it would be a danger to pedestrians, but to place it on the road, it will constitute yet a further reduction in available parking spaces; 3. The proposal will constitute a further imposition of poorly designed "street furniture", out of keeping with the character of the neighbourhood and further disadvantaging residents, visitors and others requiring to use the affected road. I object to the proposal of a cycle Hanger in Cavendish Road for the following reasons. A) it is an eyesore on a road and the proposal in further forms a visition of paying the payers in a visition by the payers in the payers of the payers in the paye
				positioned in front of Grade 1 and grade 2 properties. In a world Heritage City. B) There is an existing bike hanger in Park Place which is underutilised. C) Most of the properties on Cavendish Rod have gardens/vaults and garages and would not require the use of a bike hanger. D) It is another waste of councils money that could be better spent of housing the homeless and removing hideous fake flowers from the front of heritage buildings.
Resident	Cavendish Road	Environmental	WhollyObject	

Resident	Cavendish Road	Parking	PartlyObject	I do not believe that it is necessary to erect more bicycle storage along Cavendish Road. I am unaware of anyone who rides a bicycle living in Cavendish Place. The one in Park Place never appears to be used. Before anymore are commissioned, I would respectfully suggest that local residents be asked if they actually need such a facility. Most residents have access to underground storage vaults. By removing at least one available parking space to install such storage appears to me at least, to be contrary to the needs of local residents.
Resident	Cavendish Road	Other	WhollyObject	This suggestion of a permanent bicycle hanger on Cavendish Road is wholly unacceptable for the following reasons; 1. Not necessary at all 2. People who live on this road have plenty of room in either their gardens or garages for bike storage 3. Permanent eyesore 4. Once installed they are permanent 5. It would be completely under utilised 6. Where exactly would it go and when would the residents be consulted if at all?? 7. The existing hanger on Park Place is more then sufficient and that is never used anyway!! 8. Where is the link to the World Heritage site and the keeping everything to Georgian standards. These are certainly nit in keeping 9. How sneaky is the council in displaying a small and almost unnoticeable sign on a single lampost that most residents would never even see!! Shocking democratic behaviour 10. Our local community will not support this plan and will gather together to ensure it does into happen. Whatever that may take. 11. The council has a learnt taken significant steps to mimimise traffic on Cavendish Road but the next best step would be to put speed bumps on Cavendish Road to further slow and redirect traffic!!
Resident	Cavendish Road	Parking, Environmental, Access, Financial, Safety, Traffic	WhollyObject	Wholly disapprove of this suggestion. This ill conceived proposal presents several concerns. Cavendish Road is already a narrow and heavily parked street, and the addition of a large storage unit would further reduce the availability of parking, which is already under significant pressure for residents, visitors alike. The unit also raises safety concerns. Its proposed placement will obstruct visibility for drivers and pedestrians at a point where space and sightlines are already constrained, increasing the risk of accidents. Furthermore, the unit would be an unsightly addition to the streetscape and is not in keeping with the character of the surrounding area. Given the limited benefit and the significant impact on both safety and parking, this installation represents an unnecessary financial risk investment. There is already one of these poorly conceived installations on park place which creates significant issues for visibility and contributes to the issues raised above.

Resident	Cavendish Road	Other		WhollyObject

This response relates to your specific proposal for a new cycle hanger in Cavendish Road (outside Cavendish Cresent). 1. We do not understand the logic of introducing a cycle hanger in this location. 2. We are not sure if you have fulfilled your responsibility under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 with respect to Notices, since there is only one Notice to residents along the entire length of Cavendish Road, that is largely hidden from view, under a shady tree in outside Cavendish Cresent. We suspect that most residents will not see nor read this Notice given its positioning.3. We are not a pro-Car family (we do NOT have a car in Bath) and the following comments and not biased against the loss of parking spaces - actually we would be happy for the road to be free of cars as we walk everywhere (incl daily school run up Cavendish to Kingswood).4. We can appreciate the "theory" behind the hangers but this scheme is not working - the hanger directly opposite our residence (Park Place), whilst it may have full occupancy, is never used - in the time since its arrival, we have never seen someone use it - it is not daily switching people to bicycles as a mode of transport instead of cars, it is hardly used.5. We do not understand the new proposal for the top of Cavendish... who will actually use it? Who is likely to be within a reasonable catchment areas for it to make sense? It is unlikely that anyone from Cavendish Place will:- For example, No1,2,3 and 13 are all single dwellings with vault storage space. For example No 3, 7 and 8 are occupied by retired/aged residents in their 70s and 80s. For example Nos 12, 11, 10, 9, 7&8, 6 and 5 all have either garages or secure storage to the rear. Moreover, Cavendish Lodge has onsite storage for residents. Ditto Somerset Place. Also, Winifreds Dale is single dwelling. Ditto the houses up Sion Hill. On that basis, who locally then actually needs this one??? Who will use it?? 4. We are not sure how you can safely install this cycle hanger on a road with a 40% incline/decline. 5. We are concerned that this is a Conservation Area - many properties are Grade 1 listed; Cavendish is a beautiful road and should not be tarnished by a steel coffin-like structure, as Park Place already has been. Cavendish Road north of Cavendish Place is largely car free - why clutter up the street? 6. So, are there not better alternative locations that have a stronger need for this, and will therefore more likely fulfil the Councils goals of swapping car with bike? If the Council is authentic in its objective, there has to be a more worthy site than this? 7. Separately, we previously reported that the Park Place hanger is being used by skateboarders as a ramp/jump - it wont be long before one of them injures themselves if the proposed location is adopted (photos attached).

Resident	Charmouth Road	Safety,Access,Other	WhollyObject	I am not supporting this proposal because: 1. The hangers only provide cycle parking for a few people that hold a security key and hence it is unlikely to be useful for the majority of the residence in this area. At the same time it isn't useful for parents dropping of kids by bike at the school either because far too difficult and time consuming to lock the bike in the hanger. 2. Kids that cycle to the school have secure bike parking within the school grounds. 3. Residents that use bicycles like myself have already secure bike storage on their properties. 4. The giant structure will cause terrible viability for cars and pedestrians (kids included) just outside the school entrance. 5. Making people to pay for bicycle parking will not encourage cycling. Cycling should indeed be encourage in Bath to reduce the amount of cars traveling in and out of the city. However, the money planned to be spent on hangers should instead be spent on safer cycling routes into the city and better cycling path provision without lots of pot holes everywhere. 6. Charmouth Road specific - maybe fixing a metal bar on the wall on left hand side of school gate (traveling up Charmouth road from Newbridge Road) would provide an easy access for parents dropping of kids by bike to lock up the bikes in case they don't want to take them into the school ground. I hope that this useless proposal is stopped for Charmouth Road and other areas in the city. I am disappointed that the proposal was made without consulting residence in the first place and to gather information if this would indeed change the travel habits. We - the residents of Charmouth Road - only became aware of it by a non-official looking sign that was put up on a light post. Looking at the overall proposal I feel that a justification for such hanger is assuming that cycling in Bath is a safe way of transport. Well as a cyclist myself I unfortunately have to say that it isn't a safe way of transport and I am disappointed about the fact that many areas within Bath and the residenti
Resident	Charmouth Road	Parking	PartlyObject	The main demand for cycle parking in this area is for short term parking (school drop off, visiting residents). I propose that open access cycle parking hoops are placed in this site instead to encourage more frequent cycle use. Unless there is specific evidence that these hangers will be used, I object to their installation in Charmouth Road and ask that more flexible metal hoops are installed instead. This should all be done as part of wider traffic management livable neighbourhood measures in Charmouth Road (NOT a one way system which will not improve the neighbourhood)
Resident	Charmouth Road	Other	WhollyObject	This would be truly difficult for parking. I have a disabled daughter and parking is very limited outside my house. If this goes ahead not only will it be truly difficult to park near my house but it will also be unsightly. This decision would make me need to move house which would be highly inconvenient and unfair.

Resident	Charmouth Road	Safety,Other	PartlyObject	the adjacent stretch of Newbridge Road has rear access for secure bike storage. If it is located to facilitate RUH access via the footpath to Evelyn Road it will encourage cycling along that pedestrian footpath (from Charmouth Road to Newbridge Hill). The cycle provision on Charmouth Road which is needed is a safe place for parents / carers to secure bikes if they use a bike on the school run. This was requested by parents/ carers during the Liveable Neighbourhood consultation for Lyme and Charmouth Roads. (There is a suitable unused piece of grass to the left of Newbridge Primary School's gates which would easily accommodate several Sheffield style bike racks. Funding would be better used for that facility.)
Resident	Charmouth Road	Parking, Traffic, Safety, Financial, Environmental, Disturbance, Access	WhollyObject	Upposition to the installation of a Cycle Hangar on Charmouth Hoad. I strongly oppose the installation of a cycle hangar on Charmouth Road due to the following concerns: Exacerbation of an Already Severe Parking Problem. The street is already overwhelmed with competing demands for space, particularly during school drop-off and pick-up times. Parents, residents, and delivery drivers are often forced to park illegally in unsafe or inappropriate locations, including double parking, parking on corners of junctions, mounting pavements and blocking dropped curbs. Emergency vehicles and pedestrians are frequently hindered due to congestion. More vehicles stopping unpredictably or double-parking will create blind spots increasing these hazardous behaviours and making it even more dangerous for young primate school age children and pedestrians to navigate the street safely. Residents Already Pay for Parking but Struggle to Park. Local residents are already forced to pay over £100 per year for a residential parking permit—yet many struggle to park outside their own homes due to the lack of available spaces. The introduction of a cycle hangar would remove yet another parking space, further penalizing residents who are already paying for the privilege of parking but receiving no guarantee of a space. This is completely unfair and unacceptable. No Benefit for Anyone – The Demographic Does Not Need It. This cycle hangar serves no real purpose. The children at the primary school already have access to a large, covered, and free bike and scooter storage facility within the school grounds. This means the primary group of potential users does not even need additional cycle storage. In addition, the entire local area consists of family homes with gardens, not flats or apartments. Every household already has private outdoor space where they can store bikes. There is no need for on-street cycle storage in a neighborhood where virtually every resident has an alternative. As a family of four who cycle regularly—two adults cycling to

				This hangar is proposed to go right outside my house and I totally disagree with it on many grounds: 1. Access: There are over 400 children and their families that queue up outside my house twice on every school day. This hangar will be inaccessible at worst, or have difficult access during those times. 2. Access: Charmouth Road is a very busy road with lorries and cars and it is not appropriate to have access from the road side if this is your intention.3. Parking: When the permit parking was introduced we lost parking spaces on both Charmouth Road and Lime Road because of the extension of the double yellow lines around the corner of Charmouth Road and Lime Road. This hangar will take up another parking space reducing again our ability to park near our house. 4. Parking & Safety: By taking up a parking space with this hangar you will make parking even more restricted and therefore increase the chances of damage to cars as we have to manoeuvre around it.5. Safety: The junction with the entrance to school and Lime Road is already dangerous and traversed by over 400 school children and their families. The vehicles manoeuvring around the hangar will increase the danger to each and every one of these families. 6. Safety: The hangar will block the view around the junction with the school and Lime road meaning that vehicles will not be able to see children popping across the road, which happens all the time. 7. Disturbance: We already have a busy road with a lot of traffic and over 400 families entering through the school gate, this hangar will increase the number of people using this busy road and pavement area increasing yet again the disturbances that
Resident	Charmouth Road,	Access,Safety,Parking,Disturbance,Financial,Other	WhollyObject	we already experience.8. Financial: I cycle to work in central Bath for my commute and I think it is disgusting that you would charge people to park in this hangar. This will discourage people from using bikes and not increase their chances of using them. From my own observations, having lived here next to the school for 10 years, there are plenty of parents who cycle to school to drop their children off before commuting on their bikes for the remainder of their journey to work. This hangar is not needed as these people do not want to park their bikes in an expensive hangar all day. 9. Financial: If your intention is for the residents of these streets to park their bikes here then that is unlikely as we already pay for parking and would not want an additional cost to park our bikes in your hangar. All of the houses have back entrances via small paths and lanes and would not need to use your expensive hangar to park their bikes. 10. Other: We learned of your proposal through ONE small print letter, with NO council logo, letterhead or colour to draw attention to it. I would suggest this makes the whole proposal deliberately obscure so you can pass this through without any feedback. This method of informing us is un-democratic, where is our individual letter? Or multiple ways to find out? I DO NOT agree to this proposal and think you should NOT put it in this street.
Resident	Crescent Lane	Parking	WhollyObject	I refer to the cycle storage proposed to be situated on Crescent Lane. I think this is an inappropriate position for such a store for the following reasons. 1. There are no cycle users living along that stretch of road and if there was we all have garages and have no need for such storage. 2. We do however have a reasonable need for parking spaces. These spaces are fully used by residents or traders during the day especially. We would lose a space if a hanger was installed. 3. It would be a blight on our residents view of the green area.4. There is already a hangar within 40 yards of the proposed site. 5. If there is demand for the hangars they should be situated where that demand is. More likely that the demand will be from areas where there are Georgian buildings with no storage facilities. 6. Why not situate further hangers adjacent to the existing ones as they seem to be in reasonable places. Thank you for giving us the chance to respond to the proposal and I hope you will agree that the proposed site for the hangar is not appropriate.

Resident	Cynthia Road	Parking, Other	PartlyObject	The proposal suggests that a cycle hangar will be placed on Cynthia road in an existing parking space, reducing the number of parking spaces available. Cynthia road is a busy road and as a resident it is already very hard to find parking near to our house. The business of the road also means that is not the safest for people to stand on while they try and get their bike out of a hangar. Presuming that the hangar door would face the pavement, it is already a busy and congested pavement due to the regular leaving of rubbish bins on the pavement (despite escalation to the council and to the Student Community representatives), with already restricted access for prams and mobility scooters. Adding in pedestrians taking bikes in and out of a hanger I believe will lead to more people trying to stand or lean their bikes to the side of then hangar, or pedestrians having to stand on the road to circumvent a blockage, creating more risk for pedestrians. I would suggest that a better place to put the hangar (if it is needed) would be round the corner at the end of St Kilda's road next to the entrance to the Two Tunnels pathway (what 3 words location: ///deeper.organs.artist). This parking space is used less frequently (as it is also opposite a drive) so would not affect parking as much. Location wise, it is also at a dead end that does not have through traffic (making it safer) and is also closer to a regular cycle path. This would cause fewer blockages to the pavement and also be safer for pedestrians to stand around, especially for example if there are small children waiting for parents to get bikes out of the hangar. As a side note, putting the hangar at the end of St Kildas would also mean that it is less likely to used as a stopping point for students going home after a night out. Which is more of a disturbance to residents' sleep than the gulls. A final point; in the supporting documentation provided document '(24-024) (Various Roads Bath Area) (Cycle Hangar Phase 3) - Proposed Order - Public Notice' lists the locati
Resident	First Avenue	Parking, Disturbance, Environmental, Other, Access, Safety	WhollyObject	Consultation 24-024. I am against the current proposal to site a cycle hangar in First Avenue, Oldfield Park. 1. It is unnecessary and inappropriate to have a cycle hangar in this position. While I agree they are a good thing for cyclists without storage facilities, all houses in this road have front gardens, secure back gardens and sheds and/or garages where residents who cycle store their bicycles. Storage has never been a problem and I cannot think that residents will now want to start paying for cycle storage when they already have this on their own premises. If they are aimed at visitors then the people they are visiting will be able to provide safe cycle storage. 2. Cycle hangars are usually sited where no other storage is available, e.g. in towns or near flats/apartment buildings where there is no other storage space. This is not the case here. 3. I would be interested to know how it has been determined that a cycle hangar is needed in this road and in particular half way along the road. 4. It would remove another parking space in the road in addition to potentially another 8 residents' permits being allocated following the construction of 4 new terraced houses at the end of the road. While I understand that cycling should be encouraged where possible, not everyone is able to cycle due to physical and mobility limitations and they are reliant on their cars. 5. There could potentially be noise and safety issues if sited directly outside residents' houses/windows. 6. To present a positive view, if a cycle hangar is deemed to be necessary in this area, it would be better to site it at the end of the road, perhaps on the triangular area between the bottom of Oldfield Lane and Durley Park (opposite the school). In this position it would not interfere with anyone else, would be available to more people in a wider area and could also be used by school staff and church/church hall users to encourage cycling. It is certainly not necessary for residents of First Avenue. So, while I wholly object to this proposal

Resident	First Avenue	Other	PartlySupport	This is an extremely valuable initiative and could really support city centre cycling uptake where flats can find cycle storage a challenge. Living on First Avenue where one of the proposed cycle hangars is currently proposed I would question whether this location is the best use of limited funding. All properties on First Avenue have rear property access (either via Oldfield Lane or the lane between the west side of First Avenue and Second Avenue) and most have garages - this means that there is not expected to be any residential demand for this. There are not many employers in the area and the requirement to have a key for the hangar is likely to preclude use by commuters/church goers etc. Right policy, but not necessary on First Avenue - spend the money where it will generate more value! Some public cycle racks for short-term use could be useful for St John's Catholic Primary School and St Alphege's Church.
Resident	First Avenue	Parking, Traffic, Safety	PartlyObject	There is no detail in the proposal about who specifically this initiative is supposed to benefit, but the obvious candidates would be those in accommodation without access to secure cycle storage who want to own and operate a bike. This makes a lot of sense for some locations (near to denser residential, blocks of flats etc) but the proposed site on First Avenue cannot be justified. First Avenue is primarily family houses that benefit from rear access (from either Oldfield Lane or the lane that runs parallel to the west), meaning that those who want to use bikes already have access to secure storage for them. First Avenue already suffers from challenges around parking due to St John's School at the bottom of the Avenue - and this is despite residents already being forced to pay for parking, with very little obvious enforcement of the restrictions. Losing a space in the middle of the Avenue will exacerbate the existing problem. The council has also just approved planning for four new properties (with extremely minimal dedicated parking) right at the bottom which will also add strain. I'm not opposed to the concept of secure storage for bikes, but this location makes very little sense - and furthermore there's no need for it to be on the road at all. The council has access to many other sites or locations nearby that would not involve removing a parking space. Why not use space in the one way system at the entrance to Durley Park? Why not locate this closer to the multiple HMOs on King Edward Road to benefit students? It feels like this location was chosen completely at random.
Resident	First Avenue	Parking	PartlyObject	I object to the proposed installation of a cycle hangar on First Avenue. While I fully support the council's plans to make Bath more cycle-friendly, a cycle hangar on First Avenue would be a waste of taxpayers' money. All houses on First Avenue are lucky enough to have rear access to gardens with garages or sheds, so we are all able to store our bicycles safely already. There are many regular, keen cyclists on the road and we would far rather see the cycle hangar installed outside flats or on a terrace street without rear access to the houses. We also struggle to have adequate parking space on First Avenue and this will only become more challenging when the proposed development of the single bungalow St Malo (at the bottom of the road) into four terraced houses takes place, so losing a further parking space to a cycle hangar will make life more difficult for residents. Please reconsider the cycle hangar for First Avenue and find an alternative location for it elsewhere in Bath, in order to make far better use of money. Thank you for reading.
				I am opposed to the taking of a parking space in First Avenue for bike hangars because: no residents in First avenue require bike hangars as everyone has rear access to their gardens; First Avenue does not link up to any cycle paths into the city centre and the surrounding are of Oldfield park is not safe for cycling as the roads are too busy and too narrow; First Avenue is a very dangerous area at school time the majority of residents in Bath are elderly or have small children so they are not able to cycle in the city improving public transport and increasing charging points are more likely to reduce emissions encouraging people to send their children to local schools and walking to school these poorly planned cycle routes and hangars are alienating people who would otherwise support green initiatives for clean air
Resident	First Avenue	Other	PartlyObject PartlyObject	

Resident	First Avenue	Other	WhollyObject	There is zero demand for a bike store in First Avenue. All houses have rear access and no requirements to store bikes on the road. The bike store will result in lost residents parking, it would be more suitable on oldfield lane outside the school. It would encourage teachers to cycle and would set an example the the school children on merits of cycling. The size of the bike store would impact on pavement and road space. First Avenue is very narrow the parking markings are barely wide enough for the modern sized electric cars. I would like to know why First Avenue was chosen as a location. A mix of elderly and families with very young children. Not the type to be using a bike store. Will it be removed if there is no demand?
Resident	First Avenue	Parking, Other	WhollyObject	I object to the installation of bike storage on first avenue beacaue I believe that the storage will not be efficiently utilised here. All properties here have rear access and garage/shed storage so those that have bikes would rather use their own storage which is significantly safer and more private rather than a public one. An instillation of this nature would be more appropriate near houses that do not have these facilities or to an area where there is a more transient population of people visiting. It would be better located by the school or near Durley park where residence do not have access to garages. I think that an installation here would be a waste of money and it would be better suited somewhere else in bath where there is a hub of people visiting - the roads surrounding moorland road would be more appropriate to encourage people to cycle there. Also positioning it in the centre of the road is inconvenient for residence as it's halfway up the hill. It should be located at the bottom of the hill.
Resident	First Avenue	Parking, Access, Disturbance	PartlyObject	This is the wrong place to put the hanger on First Avenue, it is already hard to park outside our homes and for visitors to park, we all have gardens to keep bikes in and there is plenty of space to put a bike hanger on Oldfield Lane or near Sandpits Park on Monksdale Road. This would mean we still have the facility but it would not remove any parking, in fact placing it opposite the school would avoid the dangerous and illegal parking on the zig zag lines!
Resident	First Avenue	Parking, Disturbance, Other	PartlySupport	The position of storage for cycles, seems in appropriate as all house in First Ave have gardens, no multi occupancy houses, so cycles will be stored in residents gardens, however would be useful by st John's School and Church it is more likely to be used by visitors. Church hall and Moorfields pub would also benefit.
Resident	First Avenue	Safety,Parking,Traffic,Disturbance,Other	PartlySupport	All houses in First Ave have gardens for cycle storage. It would mean loss of parking space, noise disturbance outside proposed house position. Feel it would not be used in the road, however if positioned at the bottom by St John's School and church it would be much more useful for store of bikes whilst at school or church, church hall and Moorfields pub.
Resident	First Avenue	Parking, Traffic, Safety	PartlyObject	Removing already tight parking spaces on this street is another example of this council's policy of deciding things in an office rather than making site visits to allocate space to more street furniture that none of the residents wants. When the presents parking restrictions were drawn up -in an office looking at a map - spaces which had been available were double yellow lined and there are other spaces which could be used to place these hanging spaces. It would just take someone to come and look and possibly discuss the situation with residents to calm the agitation that this proposal is causing. It is also noted that the only residents this council listen to live on Sydney Place and Sydney Mews so whatever is said will be ignored if the opinions expressed by the Council tax payers on First Avenue don't chime with the plan put forward by the Council.

		T		
Resident	First Avenue	Parking, Traffic, Safety, Access, Other	WhollyObject	Access: We do not need a cycle hangar on First Avenue. All the houses on the street have gardens with rear entrances as well as front gardens, and we already easily store and access bikes on our property. Parking: After years of frustration, we have a much better parking system now that we have residential parking permits. Permission has just been granted for 4 new houses on the street which will increase the need for 8 more spaces. A hangar will reduce the space. Alternatives: There are far better alternatives available for a hangar should it be deemed necessary- eg St Alpheges church parking spaces, or the grass triangle outside StJohn's School (which would also serve the school better) Safety: the above alternatives would be safer than the proposed location as they are off road.
Resident	First Avenue	Disturbance	PartlySupport PartlySupport	I think cycle hangers are good, but would like the council to consider an alternative position to the on actually on the road in first avenue. There are alternative spaces near St John's school, where the road is wide and not used which would make more sense and be more widely accessible to spending streets and residents.
Resident	First Avenue	Parking	WhollyObject	We object to the proposed cycle hangar on First Avenue. Parking spaces for permit holders are already limited and this will reduce parking at the time when outline planning approval has been given for 4 new houses in First Avenue at St Malo. We would not want a grey box outside our house as they are ugly semi permanent installations. A cycle hangar would partially block a narrow pavement & prevent parking outside a house for unloading, disabled access & contractors.
Resident	Kensington Place	Other	WhollyObject	The Kensington Place location is desperately needed, or even just some covered shelters would be great. There is no place to put bikes on this road for anyone in the flats above street level. Please go ahead with this plan!
Resident	Kensington Place	Parking	PartlySupport	I am support this proposal completely! As a bicycle rider to pootle around town, I love not always having to be stuck in Bath traffic, and as someone who lives in a top floor flat, without space to park my bike safely, I welcome more bike hangars in the city. The only suggestion I have is in regards to the proposed hangar on Kensington Place. People tend to park their cars, on both sides of the road, other than further down (closer to Morrisons) as the curb is higher and most people don't feel comfortable parking there. Perhaps this would be a less interfering position? Either way, I'm all for it.
Resident	Pulteney Avenue	Other	WhollySupport	I'm in favour of the cycle hangar proposed for Pulteney Avenue. I've been waiting for a space to become available in the hangars for approximately 2 years,
StakeholderGroup	Queens Parade / Alfred Street / Crescent Lane	Other	PartlyObject	Comments on 3 cycle hanger units only: a) Queens Parade: excellent location for siting, subject to agreement to precise location by Queens Parade residents. b) Alfred Street: Good general location but please consider placement on road parking bay not in view of key heritage building i.e The Assembly Rooms or its courtyard area. The current suggested location of the hanger will significantly detract from the aesthetics of the area in front of the soon to be redeveloped Assembly Rooms and the re-landscaping its front courtyard area, as well as to the pedestrian passageway leading past the telephone kiosk towards St Andrew's Terrace/Miles Buildings. c) Crescent Lane: Not required as a hanger already exists in Upper Church Street. Option: locate in Crescent Lane at rear of Royal Crescent Hotel on wide pavement area on opposite side of road to St James Street junction. If B&NES officers are aware of an actual demand for additional hanger space in this immediate area (there is no such demand/interest from residents of Crescent Lane) then recommend, if absolutely necessary, that the additional hanger is located immediately next to the existing cycle hanger currently located in Upper Church Street (a few yards away and not overlooked by any significant number of residences).

Resident	Queens Parade / Alfred Street / Crescent Lane	Other	PartlySupport	Comments on 3 cycle hanger units only: a) Queens Parade: excellent location for siting, subject to agreement to precise location by Queens Parade residents. b) Alfred Street: Good general location but please consider placement on road parking bay not in view of key heritage building i.e The Assembly Rooms or its courtyard area. The current suggested location of the hanger will significantly detract from the aesthetics of the area in front of the soon to be redeveloped Assembly Rooms and the re-landscaping of its front courtyard area, as well as to the pedestrian passageway leading past the telephone kiosk towards Miles Buildings. c) Crescent Lane: Not required as hanger already exists in Upper Church Street. Option: locate in Crescent Lane at rear of Royal Crescent Hotel on wide pavement area on opposite side of road to St James Street junction. If B&NES is aware of an actual demand for additional hanger space in this immediate area (there is no such demand/interest from residents of Crescent Lane) then recommend, if absolutely necessary, that the additional hanger is located immediately next to the existing cycle hanger currently located in Upper Church Street (a few yards away and not overlooked by any significant number of residences)
Resident	Ringswell Gardens	Parking	WhollyObject	I don't support this proposal, I don't believe a bike hangar is necessary in Ringswell Gardens and would be much more usefully used elsewhere - the properties alongside the proposed location have gardens and therefore have plenty of space to store bikes at home. Parking here is already at a premium with the residents of Ringswell Gardens and those living in Grosvenor Place so the loss of several parking spaces would make life much more difficult. A lot of the homes are made up of several generations - mainly down to lack of affordable housing in the city - so therefore have several car owners who need their own cars to for their work commute, travel via bicycle is mostly not possible due to distances and lack of public transport. I suspect this particular location has been requested by the Green Party councillor who lives right beside it. I'm not against these bike hangars in general but I just don't feel it's needed in Ringswell Gardens - would be more useful placed in an area where there are lots of flats so therefore very little storage. I suggest this particular location be reconsidered. Thank you
Resident	Ringswell Gardens	Other	PartlyObject	It's hard to see the need for a bicycle hangar in Ringswell Gardens, where every single house has a garden in which bicycles can be stored, and many houses also have dedicated garages. These hangars are surely more useful in areas with flats, where residents do not have storage for bicycles.
Resident	Ringswell Gardens	Parking, Traffic, Safety, Access, Environmental	WhollySupport	I support the installation of cycle hangars to support the uptake of cycling. There is plenty of on-road parking for residents available in this location.
Resident	Ringswell Gardens	Parking, Traffic, Safety, Access, Other	WhollyObject	There is no requirement for a bike hangar in ringswell gardens- bikes are stored in homes, gardens or garages. There are never any bikes stored outside. It would eliminate vital resident parking spaces & cause obstruction & visibility issues for drivers in this narrow st. Also due to increased crime and drug dealing in the area I don't feel that people would be comfortable leaving their bike in these hangars overnight - it is a waste of public funds and resources

	1			
Other	Sion Hill Place	Other	WhollyObject	As co-owner to one of the properties at Sion Hill Place I wholly object to the proposed bike hanger which is to be located on one of the two access points to Sion Hill Place, Bath (24-024). This is a prime residential terrace of huge architectural importance being a Grade I mid-18th century terrace of townhouses by John Wood the Elder, situated within the Bath conservation area and World Heritage Site. The bike hanger is to be located alongside the access to Sion Hill Place which will be visible directly in front of you as you approach the terrace, which will detract from the architectural splendour of the terrace when approached from the East. This will have a huge detrimental impact to the Grade I listed terrace which is best viewed from its approach as seen in the attached photograph. I also understand that no one along the terrace currently has any need for such a facility and that it will be left unused, and will probably end up being vandalised or covered in graffiti which will further impact the terrace upon its approach. I therefore wholly object to these proposals as they will have a huge detrimental impact to one of Bath's prime Grade I residential terraces situated within a conservation area and World Heritage Site.
Other	Sion That tace	Ottlei	WhottyObject	There is no need for a bicycle hanger in Sion Hill Place as the majority of the residents are elderly. None of the
				residents in the road cycle. There will be a shortage of parking spaces for residents when the apartments in No 1 are sold. There are frequently problems parking with tradesmen's vehicles taking up parking spaces over the last few years hence the original residents parking restrictions.
Resident	Sion Hill Place	Parking	WhollyObject	
Resident	Sion Hill Place	Other	PartlyObject	I object to the installation of a bike hanger on Sion Hill Place. The residents who originally requested the hanger have moved from the area and there is no longer a demand for it on Sion Hill Place. It would become a costly installation for the Council, in this location, without any financial return.
nesidelit	Sion rink Flace	Ottlei	FaittyObject	
Resident	Sion Hill Place	Parking, Other	WhollyObject	I object wholly to the introduction of a bike hangar on Sion Hill Place. We are a close community on the terrace and know each other. I can confirm that not a single resident on the terrace (apart from my family) uses a bike on a frequent basis. All our bikes are stored in our home. The hangar will be left empty, not used. It is an eyesore on a road which is a grade 1 listed set of properties and will take up two parking spaces. I am happy to talk through this further but believe installing a hanger here will be a waste of council funds as it won't be used by anyone.
Resident	Sion Hill Place	Environmental	WhollyObject	The person who requested this bike thing moved over 6 months ago and we are a small terrace mainly elderly people and no one rides a bike! So we don't want the eyesore as it's not required
Resident	St Saviours Road	Parking	WhollyObject	I live next door to the proposed location of cycle lock up. I believe there is a better location in Larkhall Square with more space.
				I am deeply concerned about the proposal for a bike hanger on the corner of St Saviours Road and Savoury. I have to use my drive on this corner a minimum of 4 times a day, to do the school run. Visibility coming out of my drive is already difficult, and I often have to wait for young children and elderly pedestrians who do not see me reversing or entering. This bike hanger would mean increased foot traffic in this area as people come to park and remove their bikes, which is potentially a serious hazard for me and the pedestrians. I urgently need to consult with your team about this as I think you need to come and see the site, and witness me using the drive to realise what a hazard this will be. I am keeping a record of this conversation so that if there are future accidents at this site as a result of the bike hanger you have had advance warning of this problem.
Resident	St Saviours Road	Safety	WhollyObject	<u> </u>
Resident	St Saviours Road	Other	PartlyObject	Re bicycle hangar on St Saviour's Road, Larkhall. I object to the proposed location of the hangar. The location is at the junction of a major road and a cul de sac and would make turning into and out of the junction quite dangerous because it would affect drivers', pedestrians' and other road users' view of oncoming traffic. I support the idea of a bicycle hangar but feel there are other, better locations in the area.
				Crazy place to put bike store. Space opposite Coop much more suitable
Business	St Saviours Road	Environmental	WhollyObject	No. 1 Control of the

				Please relocate this item as parking in Larkhall is already extremely difficult for residents. Traffic is busy at all
Resident	St Saviours Road	Parking	WhollvObject	times of the day. It would be helpful not to lose what is already limited parking
Resident	St Saviour's Road	Parking, Safety, Environmental	WhollyObject	I object to the proposal based on the following factors: 1. Removal of parking space in a very busy area - we already have problems parking in Havory 2. Safety - it will make it difficult to see around the corner as we emerge from Havory 3. These things are an eyesore.
nesident	or ourself strong	Turking, our cty, Environmental	mody object	Whilst the bike hanger on St Saviours road is a good idea, and I support green initiatives wholeheartedly, there are far better locations for this particular bike hanger in the village. The main problem with the position of the proposed bike hanger is that it will restrict the view down the road when pulling out of the Havory, not to mention the driveway immediately to the rear.
Resident	St Saviour's Road	Parking, Environmental	PartlyObject Partl	
Other	St Saviour's Road	Parking, Safety, Access, Disturbance	WhollyObject	Obstruction of already limited roadway. Bretter site elsewhere n Larkhall
Resident	St Saviour's Road	Safety, Parking, Access	PartlyObject	Whilst in favour of cycling in general, I reject this proposed bike storage location. However, this particular location will be a traffic hazard (cars turning out of Havory), an eyesore and will also take up already limited parking for local businesses. I would suggest there is a better placed location opposite the Coop
Commuter	St Saviour's Road	Parking, Safety, Access	WhollyObject	I often come to Lark Hall for business meetings and hard it hard enough to park as it is. I'm in favour of bike lanes and bike 'garages' but the corner of this street is not the best place - have you thought about putting it opposite the co-op?
				I most strongly object to the proposed location of a cycle hanger (A) right in front of our house on St Saviour's Road in Larkhall (Image A) and cite the following reasons.1) It's highly unsympathetic to the aesthetic of our street (we live in conservation area don't we?) and it's going to be the first thing you see from our window (Image B) 2) Reversing/accessing our drive is already difficult to see oncoming traffic and pedestrians - a permanent fixture makes it more difficult and dangerous - particularly during school run times, (Image C1, C2), likewise for traffic using The Havory. This is a busy junction so it seems madness to add a permanent fixture. 3) We've already suffered BANES rubber stamping the installation of a Virgin Media box in front of our property (Image D) 4) We also think its wrong for it to occupy a parking space when parking space is already in short supply to support our local traders & businesses - why not install it on the available waste ground next to the car park further up St Saviour's (Image E)? Or somewhere in the square on the available expansive paving? I'm wholly supportive of facilitating greener travel schemes, but these have to be considerate of all factors and yet again - as with the Virgin Media Box-residents have not been full consulted for their opinion and local insight.
Resident	St Saviour's Road	Access,Safety,Environmental,Disturbance,Other,Parking	WhollyObject	
				Further to our initial objection to the proposal 24-024 to place a cycle hangar outside our house, 25 Stanley Road West, Oldfield Park, Bath, submitted on 31/3/25 we would like to add: On your Officer Decision Report - Traffic Regulation Order (TRO), Approval to Publicly Advertise the TRO document, we note that Paragraph 6 IMPACT ON HUMAN RIGHTS states that the proposals are considered to have minimal impact on human rights, such as the right to respect for private and family life and the right to peaceful enjoyment of property. We strongly feel the impact on us will not be MINIMAL. The placing of a cycle hangar 4.2 metres (on the same level) from our lounge window will have a hugely detrimental impact on our privacy and peace of mind as cyclists will be placing their bikes against our low wall/placing their belongs on the wall and looking into our lounge whilst doing so. WE STRONGLY OPPOSE THE POSITIONING OF A CYCLE HANGAR OUTSIDE 25 STANLEY ROAD WEST.
Resident	Stanley Road West	Disturbance, Environmental, Access, Other	WhollyObject	

Resident	Stanley Road West	Disturbance, Other	PartlyObject	I do not support the location of the cycle hangar proposed in Stanley Road West as it will cause noise disturbance when being used and loss of privacy for the occupants living in the property it is to be placed in front of. It will also impact negatively on their use and enjoyment of their home. The view from their ground floor sitting room window will only be of the hangar which will remain constantly in front of it and so they will have no relief from its presence at all., which is not the case now. At least with there just being a parking space in front of their window they do get relief from something being constantly directly in front of their window. I feel an alternative location could be used on the other side of the road by the large back garden wall of No. 2 Triangle East opposite 85 Triangle North and the closed Engineering Factory. This location will have no negative impact on any outlook for any occupants of houses nor cause disturbance to them.
				We are extremely concerned about the proximity of a cycle hangar position relevant to our front window, 4.2 METRES FROM HANGAR TO WINDOW SILL. Privacy: people using the hangar will be able to look straight through our lounge window into our sitting room (4.2 metres) Noise: we have concerns over the noise when opening & closing the hangar which is of metal construction. It will be ONLY 4.2 METRES FROM OUR HOUSE FRONT. WE SLEEP AT THE FRONT OF THE HOUSE. Cyclists will automatically lean their bikes against our garden wall whilst loading/unloading the hangar, also placing their backpacks/belongings on our wall. The proposed hangar will block our view & light when fully open. In a short space of time the hangars will become a convenient dumping ground for the hire scooters & bikes that are available in Oldfield Park which could very possibly obstruct the entrance/access to our house/drive. I myself am 75 years of age & have heart issues. In 2023 I had a 3 lead pacemaker fitted & my AV Node ablated, then a heart attack in 2024, and am receiving treatment from both the Bristol Heart Institute and RUH Cardiology department. I spend a lot of time in my lounge and do not need the stress of cyclists peering through my window (4.2 METRES) and the other disturbances mentioned above. STRESS IS SOMETHING I MUST AVOID. Even at this stage of the hangar planning my stress levels have risen. No 25 Stanley Road West has been privately owned for over 75 years. It is a family home and we take great pride in maintaining the appearance of our house and garden. We feel the placing of a cycle hangar so close in proximity to the front of our property will detract from the aesthetic and monetary value of our home. As you will be aware the majority of houses in Stanley Road West are HMO's or rental properties (around 75%) so the placing of a cycle hangar outside one of those would most probably not have such a detrimental affect on the occupants lifestyle as it would on us. In fact there are other areas close by where a hangar would not b
Resident	Stanley Road West	Other, Disturbance, Access, Environmental	WhollyObject	
Resident	Stanley Road West	Access,Environmental	WhollySupport	It would allow me and others to cycle into the centre more often thus better for the traffic congestion and the environment. It might encourage other residents to start cycling more often.
Resident	Stanley Road West	Access	WhollySupport	I strongly support the insertion of a bike storage unit in these spaces (especially in Camden Road, Bath). I am in my late 60s and this will provide secure storage and allow me to continue cycling for longer as I become less able to lift a bike up the 14 steps to our house.

Resident	Upper Hedgemead Road	Traffic	Traffic Regulation Order 24-024 To introduce cycle hangar in Upper Hedgemead Road Bath. I agree with this order but would like to add following comments: 1) I agree that it should be installed in Central Area Parking zone which always has empty spaces, whereas Zone 15 further down the road is now full. 2) Lower Hedgemead Road (LHR) is used as a rat run and cars come up at speed, often drivers giving a cursory glance before turning left into Upper Hedgemead Road. The position of the hangar may obstruct sight lines. There are no road markings at the top of LHR. Perhaps there should be.
Resident	Upper Hedgemead Road	Other	Re: the proposed location in Upper Hedgemead Road: it would be good to consider an alternative location. The proposed location isn't well placed for natural surveillance - when vehicle crime occurs in Upper Hedgemead Road it tends to involve the vehicles parked at that location, and discarded stolen property isn't an uncommon sight on the steps down through Hedgemead Park. Also the road slopes strongly towards the kerb and in heavy rain the gutter there carries a large flow of water, the storage would need to cope with that as when the gutter is blocked by the wheels of parked vehicles, water and debris covers the pavement. There may be more secure places to locate this cycle storage that are better overlooked and will be more secure.