

ResponderType	Plan Number	FeedbackCategory	OrderSupport	FeedbackText
Resident	Additional Plan 21 – Warwick Road / Tenby Road / Coronation Ave / Queens Road, Keynsham	Parking,Traffic,Safety,Access, Disturbance,Financial,Environmental	Object	I am writing to formally object to the proposed Traffic Regulation Order (Ref: 25-027), specifically the introduction of a “No Parking At Any Time” restriction on Queens Road, Keynsham, which directly affects my property. I am a resident of Queens Road, and located within the area proposed for the new restriction. I wish to raise the following concerns and request: 1. My daughter is disabled and requires regular assistance with mobility. She is picked up and dropped off by a specialist school transport service directly from our driveway. The proposed restriction would severely hinder the ability of the school bus to safely stop and assist her, potentially forcing her to be picked up from a less safe or less accessible location. She has to be able to get from my home and to her bus or my car as fast as possible. She has a severe autism and has very little awareness of Road safety. As her school will back me in these areas. As she needs constant support in order to get to and from vehicles. 2. The restriction appears to include the area directly in front of my house. This raises concerns about whether I or visitors (including carers and medical professionals) would be penalized for stopping briefly to assist my daughter or access the property. 3. In light of these circumstances, I respectfully request that the Council designate a permanent parking space in front of my property on Queens Road. This would ensure continued safe access for my daughter’s school transport and for essential care services and myself. 4. The proposal does not appear to take into account the specific needs of residents with disabilities. I urge the Council to consider an exemption or amendment to the restriction to accommodate our situation. I urge the Council to reconsider or modify the proposed restriction to ensure that it does not disproportionately impact vulnerable residents. I would welcome the opportunity to discuss this matter further or to provide any additional information that may assist in your decision-making.
Resident	Additional Plan 21 – Warwick Road / Tenby Road / Coronation Ave / Queens Road, Keynsham	Parking	PartlySupport	I support these proposals but think the no parking on Queens road/Tenby Road junction should be extended to go upto the Co-Op car park entrance to stop people parking opposite the Kelston Road Junction. Also no parking on Park Road opposite Queens Road Junction as there seems to be vehicles parking opposite an already difficult junction to pull out from Queens Road due to blocked vision from a fence to the left & hedge to the right. When vehicles are parked opposite you then end up having to inch front of your car out into the single file traffic.
Resident	Additional Plan 21 – Warwick Road / Tenby Road / Coronation Ave / Queens Road, Keynsham	Parking,Traffic	Object	Ridiculous proposal will cause more congestion and cause more problems than it will resolve
Resident	Additional Plan 21 – Warwick Road / Tenby Road / Coronation Ave / Queens Road, Keynsham	Parking	Object	Worst idea ever. There's no reason to do it other than screw the residents.

Resident	Additional Plan 21 – Warwick Road / Tenby Road / Coronation Ave / Queens Road, Keynsham	Parking	PartlyObject	<p>I am a resident of Warwick Road in the centre of the area proposed for yellow lines restricting parking on both sides of the road. There is already fairly tight parking around this area of Warwick Road and adding these lines will just make this worse. I can understand the need for lines either side of the entrance to St Francis church car park as when vehicles are parked here, they often block the bend used for the local buses and making it difficult to see around. However, I disagree with restriction parking as much of the road and on both sides of it. There are often events and clubs held at the church and much of the road where these lines are proposed will be used for parking for the church. This already stops residents from parking on Warwick road towards Tenby road and further around the bend towards Coronation Avenue. These restrictions entirely impractical for residents of this road as there are a lot of flats and shared buildings with no other off street parking. Adding these restrictions to the extent suggested will add to benefit and just cause more frustration for the people already living here as well as visiting. If these sort of road restrictions for Warwick Road/Tenby road are necessary, I would ask that the council look into providing off street parking for any of the flats they control and create bay parking where cars can park in order to cater for more vehicles in a smaller space.</p>
Resident	Additional Plan 21 – Warwick Road / Tenby Road / Coronation Ave / Queens Road, Keynsham	Parking,Traffic,Safety,Access, Disturbance	Object	<p>I am a curo tenant who currently lives on Warwick road and I have received a letter through the post about banes introducing a no parking at any time in lengths of Warwick road. I am a mum in an upstairs flat with 2 young children who I got to get upstairs one of them being a baby, I need to park outside my property or as close as my property as I can to be able to manage this especially with shopping that I've got to carry upstairs etc. The parking in the area is terrible as it is and if I am not home by 4pm the latest I can not get parked anywhere near my property and I know other people on the road have issues with parking also. This is going to cause more issues, when this restriction comes in where will residents park? There are no drives on the properties around me. Also the roads around are having these restrictions put into place as well so where am I suppose to park my car if I can't park outside my property? I need a car due to childcare and work.</p>
Resident	Plan 10 – Dunster Road, Keynsham	Safety	Object	<p>The removal of the waiting and parking restrictions at the corner of Dunster Road would be an accident in waiting. There have already been several crashes, and multiple near-misses (especially with cyclists) at this junction due to a lack of viability. If anything, parking restrictions should be extended and enforced more often.</p>
Resident	Plan 13 - Chandag Road, Keynsham	Parking,Traffic,Safety,Access, Environmental	PartlySupport	<p>The double yellows need to be placed up to No: 3, I have had my car damaged twice due to parking on both sides of the road, where cars have to manoeuvre dangerously to get past.</p>
Resident	Plan 13 - Chandag Road, Keynsham	Parking,Traffic,Safety,Access, Environmental	PartlySupport	<p>Please can you extend the double yellow lines so our driveway is not blocked (would need to be up to No: 3), otherwise this makes it very dangerous for us as residents when moving onto the road from the driveway as no turning circle (and blocked)</p>

Resident	Plan 13 - Chandag Road, Keynsham	Parking,Traffic,Safety,Access, Environmental	PartlySupport	<p>I'm writing in relation to the proposed double yellow lines on Chandag Rd these are meant to run from the mini roundabout to property 1A boundary left hand side. I support this action as there has been an increased use of parking along that area this is caused by bottlenecks at school times unnecessary queuing and restrictions for buses and coaches. The reality of this for me has meant an increase in traffic in noise and pollution also I have been concerned that many of the parkers were parking on the pavement restricting use as I had seen many people/ schoolchildren walking in the road to go round the cars. I had reported this to the local councillors PCOS and police officers unfortunately the increase in parking has been caused on the main by a couple of local businesses what was a residential street has now become a parking area for the local business users and staff. This is causing problems with the ability to park and also the sensibility of the parking I am often blocked in or out of my driveway by people parking on the opposite side of the road I can only see this going to get worse with the removal of the spaces you are proposing, could you please as a matter of urgency before putting double yellow lines down to number 1a extended this to number 3 on Chandag Rd this would reduce the impact of the parking and stop the driveways getting blocked at this point the road starts to widen and it becomes easier for people to get out of their drives. This should improve the flow of traffic and stop unnecessary queuing particularly at school turning out times my driveway in particular suffers from a problem as people are unaware that by parking opposite the driveway they're blocking me in. On the worst such occasion an individual left their car there for five days and no one knew who they were or where they came from my car was stuck on the drive that whole time. Perhaps the problem is becoming so acute that it might be time to consider residents only parking on the lower part of the Chandag estate.</p>
Resident	Plan 13 - Chandag Road, Keynsham	Parking,Traffic,Safety,Access, Disturbance	PartlySupport	<p>Parking at the Bath Road end of Chandag Road has always been a problem and the road is often reduced to single file and on occasions has been blocked. Adding No waiting at all times only as far as 1a Chandag Road will just make the problem worse. This end of the road is very busy with traffic getting out onto the main road. It is also a bus route for the 522. In addition, The Grasmere hotel generates a lot of extra parking as their car park is so small. We often have cars parked right up to the edge of our driveway and often on the pavement, causing an obstacle for pedestrians, prams, wheelchair users etc. If two large cars were parked on either side of the road then the road would become blocked or create traffic chaos. The No waiting at any time zone needs to extend at least to the driveway of 1 Chandag Road and possibly as far as Derwent Close. Houses numbered 1 - 9 all have large driveways and their residents do not tend to park on the road. Houses 2 - 18 either have no driveway or a small driveway, meaning those residents will always have at least one if not two cars on the road. I trust you will take this consideration seriously and extend the No waiting area.</p>
Resident	Plan 13 - Chandag Road, Keynsham	Parking,Traffic,Safety,Access, Disturbance	PartlySupport	<p>I completely agree with the proposal for the no parking area but do not think it extends far enough. It will just push the issue of traffic/parking further up Chandag Road. There are already many occasions when vehicles are parked outside of my house and thus causes the road to be single file. Some drivers try to be clever by deciding to park half or most of their vehicle on the footpath (most annoying). I cannot speak for other residents, but think you should consider extending the no parking area to at least the entrance of 1 Chandag Road and maybe even all the way up to Derwent Close as all the houses on my side of the road have large driveways.</p>

Resident	Plan 14 - Wellsway / Highfield Road, Keynsham	Parking,Safety,Traffic,Access	PartlySupport	Highfield Road - Double yellow lines should replicate those leading from Wellsway onto Sunnymead (Sunnymead is the next road going from highfield/Wellsay junction toward Keynsham) Sunnymead is a wider road than Highfield, this leeway negates vehicular incidents . Also should be a minimum of two & a half cars length
Resident	Plan 15 – Gaston Avenue / Unity Road, Keynsham	Parking	PartlyObject	I notice on your map you have not chosen to do any corner work on the following Nash Close, Reynolds Close and Rubens Close. Presumably because they are dead ends for cars. The bottom for Unity Road is also dead end for cars. The only cars that come down this section of the road are residents and visitors. This section of Unity Road has been neglected ,presumably because it is a dead end.It needs re surfacing. I would be grateful if you could visit the road. I do not see the point of putting parking restrictions on these corners.
Resident	Plan 15 – Gaston Avenue / Unity Road, Keynsham	Parking	Object	Unless the map is inaccurate, the proposed no waiting at any time restriction falls outside my property. I have one vehicle and need this for work, public transport is not an option due to having to visit multiple sites with large amounts of kit on a daily basis. There is already an over subscription to parking due to households having multiple cars, what is the proposal for residents parking and commuters using this as daily parking due to inadequate parking at the station. It would be more beneficial to make this permit parking and allocating once permit per household with marked spaces on street (people park in any which way they can be bothered, not making use of the space appropriately), so by actually marking spaces would allow for a more ordered parking situation. Having lived at this property for a number of years, parking has become increasingly challenging so I am keen to hear the proposal to accommodate the parking issue.
Resident	Plan 15 – Gaston Avenue / Unity Road, Keynsham	Parking,Traffic,Safety	PartlySupport	I think the proposal as a whole is a good idea. My only 'gripe' is that the proposal for Gaston Avenue does not go far enough. You are extending the yellow lines on Gaston Avenue into Gainsborough Avenue, or so the map seems. The issue I and many other residents have, is the parking on the opposite corner. At times there are so many cars parked down that part of the road, not necessarily parked closed to the kerb either, you cannot see if any traffic passing the cars. The cars do not often stick to the speed limit, come charging around effectively blind corners on the wrong side of the road, where there is not enough space for 3 cars, especially when there is any kind of roadworks on the Wellsway/Bath Hill. I think it would be beneficial to add at double lines one cars length plus the corner in each direction opposite Gainsborough Avenue to allow cars a better view and allowing them to take corners safer, in line with the highway code 243. There are untold parking bays on Gaston Avenue that are barely used. The few cars that it would impact have space to park in those. If they have mobility issues, then a disabled bay can be applied for by the person/s requiring access.
Resident	Plan 15 – Gaston Avenue / Unity Road, Keynsham	Parking	Object	I wholly object to the decision to abolish parking outside my property. I have lived here for many years and there has NEVER been an accident or indeed issue with safety. To restrict parking in this area is completely unacceptable and will cause serious disruption to myself, my visitors and of course other residents. Parking is already restricted and difficult without these measures. Because we are flats, there are already more drivers in the area than you would expect for a typical house. One of my neighbours is elderly. Is she expected to walk 100 yards to and from her car every time she leaves her flat? Implementing these restrictions will not only decrease the quality of life for residents like myself, but also devalues our properties and is unfair on those who rely on this parking. It is simply unreasonable to impose such a change with nothing to base it on! Please reply with the reasoning behind this proposal.

Resident	Plan 15 – Gaston Avenue / Unity Road, Keynsham	Parking,Safety,Traffic,Access, Disturbance,Financial,Environmental	Object	This is madness, how dare you force this upon us, I'm sure no-one has requested this. I have one car, which is essential to my ability to get out and about, where am I supposed to park it after you've implemented your proposal? It will cause chaos here. Only the houses around here have driveways, and there are many more flats, each with at least one resident and one car, though most have double that.
Resident	Plan 18 - Back Lane, Keynsham	Parking	PartlyObject	I object to the idea that all parking should be suspended for the majority of each day in Back Lane. This road is only ever used for parking by people visiting the houses nearby or used for unloading donations to give to the charity shops which all have their back doors along this lane. I volunteer for a charity along this road. If no one can park then this will massively effect the donations these shops receive and will ultimately effect the amount which these shops contribute to the overall charity.
Resident	Plan 18 - Back Lane, Keynsham	Parking	PartlyObject	I wish to object to the imposition of additional restrictions to parking on Back Lane. Residents above the shops have no where else to park within a considerable distance of their property. Those with difficulties walking will be unable to get to their homes form their now distant transport. I am not aware of how this change would make Keynshams roads any safer.
Business	Plan 18 - Back Lane, Keynsham	Parking	PartlyObject	I'm responding to the removal of parking from Back Lane between Monday -Saturday 8am-6pm. I've been a shop manager at one of the charity stores in this location for many years with access to park here during this time. Firstly as per Report 3 - 'Approval to publicly advertise TRO 25-027, Plan 18, restrictions were requested by the Traffic Management Team on behalf of local businesses to improve access and prevent obstruction to the day to day operations. ' I've spoken to many of the businesses that back on to back lane and I cannot find anyone who has suggested this would be a good idea to simply take all this parking away? Are you able to confirm who was consulted regarding this? I would like to counter propose that we are given the option to have permit parking applied to this area of back lane. Businesses could apply for this and it would limit the issues of who the spaces belong to but still allow businesses access to use this area. We are a charity shop and our staff and volunteers routinely use this space. Without this we will be forced to try and park on another residential road, does this not just take any issues elsewhere? Otherwise if using a council car park (if I can even find a space) will cost me over £800 a year. I work on just above minimum wage and would struggle to lose this income. We have volunteers with mobility issues that use these spaces and without this it could deter them volunteering. If this does go ahead would this be inspected routinely as there are double yellow lanes at the grounded end of Back Lane and its very common for cars to be parked on the double yellows. In my years in the shop I've only seen 1 or 2 parking tickets handed out. It also concerns me that our donors could see these double yellow lines out the back as a deterrent to dropping off their donations. This is essential for our business as it is for many other charities on Back Lane.
Resident	Plan 18 - Back Lane, Keynsham	Parking	Object	I object to the proposed single yellow line in Back Lane. Concern about the loss of daytime parking for residents who live above the shops.

Resident	Plan 18 - Back Lane, Keynsham	Parking	PartlyObject	I bought my house in Back Lane with one private parking space in our car park, but with the knowledge that visitors/workmen etc. could park on Back Lane. To take this away from residents with 1, or no parking spaces seems unnecessary when there is road space available. Surely a residents permit area would be a better idea? The charity shops could get a permit each, and residents could purchase one annually should they want one. This would limit parking in the area but still allow it for those genuinely in need.
Business	Plan 18 - Back Lane, Keynsham	Parking	Object	I am writing on behalf of British Heart Foundation located on Keynsham High Street to formally object to the proposed introduction of no parking between 8am and 6pm on Back Lane which is at the rear of our shop. This proposal poses serious concerns for the operational sustainability of our charity shop and many others charity shops based on High Street. The parking spaces on this street are essential for the day-to-day functioning and overall success of many charity shops. They are especially crucial for attracting and retaining volunteers—all of whom give their time freely, and some of whom are elderly, disabled, or have mobility issues. For these individuals, access to nearby parking is not a convenience—it is a necessity. The proposed restrictions would create significant barriers to their continued involvement. We are already operating in a highly competitive environment. Rising costs, declining footfall, and competition from online retailers are ongoing challenges. Charity shops, which raise vital funds to support life-saving services and community projects, are under increasing pressure. Removing accessible parking further disadvantages our sector and risks making volunteering or shopping with us impractical for many. The knock-on effect of this decision could be damaging. Reduced volunteer support may limit our opening hours or ability to operate effectively. This could result in decreased income for our charitable cause and, in the worst cases, force closures of shops that provide critical funding streams. We strongly urge the council to reconsider or revise the proposed restrictions, and instead explore alternative solutions that maintain accessibility for volunteers and customers—especially for those with additional needs—while balancing broader traffic concerns. Thank you for considering our objection. We welcome the opportunity to discuss this further and find a solution that supports the sustainability of local charity shops and the essential work they do in our community.
Other	Plan 18 - Back Lane, Keynsham	Safety,Access	Support	I fully support the back lane restrictions, this will stop the charity vehicles parking on the pavement and causing an obstruction to the traffic driving to access the residential flats. It will make it a safer environment as currently the older residents have to step off the pavement and walk around the charity shop vans (in particular one charity shop) and receive a load of abuse from the male driver of the van when he is told that he is blocking the pedestrian walkway.
Resident	Plan 18 - Back Lane, Keynsham	Parking	Support	No comment.
Resident	Plan 3 – Sherwood Road, Keynsham	Parking	Object	Parking for residents is already limited as some households have up to 5 vehicles. I am rarely able to park next to my house so the extra spaces are essential. Increasing the no parking area would severely reduce options for residents parking.
Resident	Plan 5 – Claverton Road / Claverton Road West, Saltford	Parking	Support	I think from a safety point, these measures are entirely necessary.
Resident	Plan 5 – Claverton Road / Claverton Road West, Saltford	Safety,Parking,Traffic	Support	I have noticed the parking at this junction myself to be unsafe. I often when leaving Anson Close am forced onto the other side of the road, in my opinion double yellows should also be enforced for the junction of Anson going onto Claverton Road West.

Resident	Plan 5 – Claverton Road / Claverton Road West, Saltford	Safety	Support	I have reported many noted cars parked at this junction and even on the corners both sides to the school for the concern of safety for children and residents who need access to Anson Close. I have often been made to drive on the other side of the road at this junction when cars are parked here, making it hard to get out of this junction safely. The resident at this junction has been known to park their [2nd] car here also. I always believed that the Highway Code stats parking at a t-junction is forbidden and have not understood why others think it ok to ignore this rule.
Resident	Plan 6 – Manor Road / Golf Club Lane, Saltford	Parking	Support	Inconsiderate and dangerous parking right on the bend of manor road (both sides of the road opposite golf club lane) by parents collecting children from Saltford school. Having to be on the opposite side of the road on a blind bend to turn right into golf club lane is dangerous and impossible and it puts vehicle users and pedestrians alike at risk.
Resident	Plan 7 / 8 - Charlton Road / Lays Drive, Keynsham	Parking	PartlyObject	As residents, a lot of houses have no driveways or have more than one vehicle, if restrictions are brought in, residents are going to be unable to park. However as long as the restrictions stick to where they are shown in the map this is ok & a sensible idea. People shouldn't be parking on the corners as it's dangerous.
Resident	All Plans	Parking	Object	What a waste of time
Resident	All Plans	Parking,Traffic	Support	I support the proposal. I would suggest too many individual changes have been included within the same consultation; these may have been better to split out into individual consultations.