

OFFICER DECISION REPORT - TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER (TRO)

5a

OUTCOME OF TRO PROCESS – DECISION (following objections)

PREPARED BY: Traffic Management Team, Highways and Traffic Group

TITLE OF REPORT: Upper Bloomfield Road, Odd Down, Bath

PROPOSAL: No Waiting At Any Time Parking Restrictions and 'Loading Only' Bay

SCHEME REF No: 26-055B

REPORT AUTHOR: Thomas Slane

1. DELEGATION

The delegation to be exercised in this report is contained within **Part 2 Section 2.35** of the Constitution under the **Delegations of Functions to Officers**, as follows:

Section A	The Chief Executive, Executive Director - Chief Operating Officer, Directors and Heads of Service have delegated power to take any decision falling within their area of responsibility...."
Section B	Without prejudice to the generality of this, Officers are authorised to: serve any notices and make, amend or revoke any orders falling within their area of responsibility.
Section D	An Officer to whom a power, duty or function is delegated may nominate or authorise another Officer to exercise that power, duty or function, provided that Officer reports to or is responsible to the delegator.

For the purposes of this report, the Director of Place Management and the Head of Highways Delivery hold the delegated power to make, amend or revoke any Orders.

2. LEGAL AUTHORITY

This proposal is made in accordance with the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, which under Section 1 provides, generally, for Orders to be made for the following reasons, and in the case of this report specifically for the reason(s) shown below:

(a)	for avoiding danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or for preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising, or	X
(b)	for preventing damage to the road or to any building on or near the road, or	

(c)	for facilitating the passage on the road or any other road of any class of traffic (including pedestrians), or	X
(d)	for preventing the use of the road by vehicular traffic of a kind which, or its use by vehicular traffic in a manner which, is unsuitable having regard to the existing character of the road or adjoining property,	
(e)	(without prejudice to the generality of paragraph (d) above) for preserving the character of the road in a case where it is specially suitable for use by persons on horseback or on foot, or	
(f)	for preserving or improving the amenities of the area through which the road runs, or	
(g)	for any of the purposes specified in paragraphs (a) to (c) of subsection (1) of section 87 of the Environment Act 1995 (air quality)	

3. **PROPOSAL**

To introduce No Waiting At Any Time restrictions (double yellow lines) at the junction between Odins Road and Upper Bloomfield Road, and to introduce a 'Loading Only' bay along Oolite Road.

The proposals are shown on the attached drawing TCP008.01.

4. **REASON**

Please refer to the Statement of Reasons.

The Council has had in mind and discharged the duty (as set out in section 122(1) of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984) to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic (including pedestrians) so far as practicable. It has also had regard to the factors which point in favour of imposing No Waiting At Any Time parking restrictions, and a 'Loading Only' bay. It has balanced the various considerations and concluded that it is appropriate to promote these measures. The Council has also considered and discharged its network management duty under section 16 of the Traffic Management Act 2004. It has concluded that the proposed Zebra Pedestrian Crossing, TRO 26-055A, No Waiting At Any Time parking restrictions, and the 'Loading Only' bay are consistent with that duty, having regard to its other policies and objectives.

5. **IMPACT ON EQUALITIES**

An Equality Impact Assessment has been undertaken in relation to the introduction of pedestrian crossing facilities and parking restrictions, which are available upon request. The Council has had due regard to the needs set out in section 149(1) of the Equality Act 2010. It considers that the proposed Order is consistent with the section 149 public sector equality duty, which it has discharged.

6. **IMPACT ON HUMAN RIGHTS**

The proposals are considered to have a minimal impact on human rights (such as the right to respect for private and family life and the right to peaceful enjoyment of property). However, the Council is entitled to affect these rights where it is in accordance with the law, necessary (in the interests of public safety or economic well-being, to prevent disorder and crime, to protect health, or to protect the rights and freedoms of others), in pursuit of a legitimate aim and proportionate to do so. The proposal(s) within this report are considered to be in accordance with the law, necessary, in pursuit of a legitimate aim and proportionate.

7. SOURCE OF FINANCE

These proposal are included in the 2026/27 Local Active Travel and Safety Programme.

8. CONSULTATION REQUIREMENT

The proposal requires consultation with the Chief Constable, Emergency Services, Road Haulage Association, Freight Transport Association (Logistics UK), Parking Services, Waste Services, Ward Members and the Cabinet Member for Sustainable Transport Delivery.

The responses to the informal consultation can be found in TRO report number 3.

9. OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS RECEIVED (following the public advertisement of the proposal(s))

The 1 objection / comments received have been summarised below with the technical responses in italics underneath each one.

- Parking is limited as it is so installing a zebra crossing and a 'NO stopping' rule will cause even more parking problems

***Officer Response** - The comments regarding parking provision are noted. The proposed "No Stopping" restrictions are an integral part of the zebra crossing design and are necessary to ensure pedestrian safety and maintain appropriate sightlines.*

10. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS FROM WARD MEMBERS AND CABINET MEMBER FOR SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORT DELIVERY (in response to the above)

Cllr Joel Hirst /Cllr Steve Hedges - Cllr Hedges and I are supportive of this and keen to see the scheme progressed. We recognise the challenging of parking but feel the crossing and supportive measures in the TRO are important.

Cabinet Member for Sustainable Transport Delivery (Councillor Lucy Hodge)

I am supportive of the implementation of the parking restrictions as proposed to enable the delivery of an important new zebra crossing in this location which will benefit active travel and safety.

11. RECOMMENDATION

That the Traffic Regulation Order as advertised should be implemented.



Paul Garrod
Head of Highways Delivery

Date: 13/09/2026

12. DECISION

As the Officer holding the above delegation, I have decided that the objections / comments be

not acceded to and the Order as advertised be **sealed / implemented (delete as appropriate)**

Or

acceded to in full and the proposal(s) withdrawn.

Or

acceded to in part and the following adjustments, being of minor significance; be included in the Order to be **sealed / implemented (delete as appropriate)**

specify minor amendment to Order here:

The Council's policy framework has been used as the basis to develop the scheme with full engagement with stakeholders across the area.

I further note that the issue of deciding whether to implement any scheme is a matter of broad judgement, taking into account the wider transport and climate

aims of the Council rather than a purely mathematical analysis on the numbers of positive or negative responses.

The arguments both for and against the scheme were clearly identified and were considered fully as part of the decision-making process before I made the final decision as set out above.

Chris Major
Director for Place Management

Date: