

Plan	Road / Street	Object	Support	Support in part	Neither	Comments
Plan 3	Maple Walk, Keynsham	X				I am a resident of Maple Walk. I would like to submit an objection to the proposal for restricting parking on Maple Walk. There are no reasons for the restrictions on parking down by the garages. The cars are always safely parked with plenty of room and no obstructions. I feel that with these restrictions it will force residents to park obstructing garages or on the main road. This will cause issues for residents and also increased danger parking on the main road.
Plan 3	Maple Walk, Keynsham	X				I fully object against this in its entirety. In this day and age most residences have 2+ cars for work and/or leisure and removing the places to park outside of our houses will cause even more traffic issues and most likely more car thefts and damages as the residents can no longer monitor or check on their cars should anything happen to them throughout the night. Further to the above, people would then have to park their cars out on the main road causing lower visibility on the bend of the road where HGV and buses travel (the benefit of knowing the area versus you just making a judgement). The area to the left is fine as this isn't parked on anyway due to common sense but the area next to the garages isn't in the way of anything and would cause huge congestion should these cars have to be moved elsewhere. Reconsider this plan or give us an alternative solution in the area where we can park our vehicles safely.
Plan 3	Maple Walk, Keynsham	X				I wish to object to the no parking restrictions in the bay next to no 23 Maple Walk by removing parking here you will encourage cars to park in the area between the garages so blocking access to the Garages. I presume this is being done due to access problems for Bin men recycling and emergency services I have no objections to the lines from the pub being extended as bad parking here does cause obstructions but fail to see what useful purpose removing the two spaces by no 23 achieves.
Plan 3	Maple Walk, Keynsham	X				I am a resident of maple walk and must object to the restrictions between 23 and 25 maple walk. These restrictions will not only move the problem but also cause greater inconvenience to all residents of maple walk. There is no problem at the moment in this regard so therefore I see no reason, and none has been given, for this restriction.
Plan 3	Maple Walk, Keynsham	X				I am a resident of maple walk and object to the proposed restrictions. I cannot see any reason or advantage to removing this parking. Speaking with neighbours, no one has admitted requesting these restrictions. Having asked our local bin and recycling men, both denied any knowledge or involvement. Negative impacts: 1) Loss of parking. 2) Residents having to park on the main road. 3) No spaces for elderly residents or visitors. 4) Residents with young children having to load kids on busy main road. 5) Residents parking on the main road means they cannot keep an eye on their cars. Petition objecting to the proposals with 32 names submitted by resident.
Plan 3	Maple Walk, Keynsham	X				We will lose 3 parking places, you are going to put lines around a house will the occupant be fined for parking on the lines. You have given no thought for the elderly some don't see any visitors from one to the next. If you have that much money to spare try putting the walkway better also if you do what you propose elderly visitors will have nowhere to park.
Plan 3	Maple Walk, Keynsham	X				I am writing with regards to the above proposal, and strongly object to the proposal, for a number of reasons: 1. There are no safety concerns with regards to the area marked in red nearby property no 23. There is no evidence of poor visibility or vehicles approaching at high speed. 2. There have been no accidents, or damage only accidents. 3. I agree with the proposal of double yellow lines from the charlton pub and around the corner, as parked cars in this location does narrow the road and make entering and leaving the cul-de-sac somewhat dangerous. However I can see no logical or safety reason for the area surrounding no 23. 4. I believe that the costs involved do not warrant any benefit. 5. There is no evidence of a regular problem of indiscriminate parking. 6. Having lived here for many years, I have never come across any reason for the need of the double yellow lines surrounding no 23. 7. A number of residents are elderly, and have frequent visitors from health professionals and carers, and the above proposal would have a negative effect on their ability to park, as this proposal would result in the loss of at least 3 car parking spaces. 8. I have elderly relatives who would not be able to stay with me, if they had to walk too far due to the reduction in available parking. 9. My previous complaint dated approx March 22 (see below) still stands, and I have had no acknowledgement that my complaint has been considered during the next Keynsham review. Your proposals above will enhance my complaint as vehicles unable to park in the cul-de-sac in your proposal will seek alternative parking in the middle cul-de-sac. This will have a huge impact on an already overloaded parking area. (see attached photos). I hold my breath every time I have to pull out onto cedar drive, I may as well be blindfolded. Whilst the loss of 3 parking spaces may seem minimal to you, this will have a big impact on all residents of Maple Walk. This I feel will endanger the visibility for the Middle cul de sac. 10. If this proposal is as a result of Waste Management problems, or emergency services, then the proposed restrictions will only move the problem to the next cul-de-sac. 11. Already, without the loss of parking spaces that will incur as a result of this proposal, residents have had their garages blocked by parked cars unable to park in the proposed area under review. This is unacceptable and causes enormous stress and inconvenience to the residents concerned.

Plan 3	Maple Walk, Keynsham	X				I am writing with regards to the above proposal, and strongly object to the proposal, namely the area marked in red near property no 23. To my knowledge there have been no accidents in this area, parked cars in this area do not cause any visibility problems. I am not aware that this area is a concern for the safety of residents or visitors. Therefore I fail too see what benefit this would bring to residents and visitors to Maple Walk. I have not been made aware of any problems with parking in this particular area (surrounding no 23). This proposal will result in at least the loss of 3 parking spaces, which are very much needed. This will then have a negative impact on the middle cul de sac, which is already alarmingly dangerous to pull out of on onto the main road. There has been an accident here, and many near misses. There has never been an issue with turning and removing these available spaces will no doubt cause residents to block access to the garages. Most visitors, and more importantly health professional/carers are directed by sat nav to this cul de sac, as a number of elderly and disabled residents need their care, this will cause distress. It will also just move the parking for these visitors to the main road or the next cul de sac. Residents could be at risk of isolation if visitors are unable to visit due to parking issues, and visitors may indeed have mobility problems whereby parking at another location is not possible. I feel very strongly that any loss of available parking spaces due to this proposal, will have a huge negative affect on the middle cul de sac which already has a visibility problem on entering and leaving. I fail to see the justification for this proposal, there is no benefit to the residents, and if the proposal is to make life easier for the local services ie Waste Management teams, or emergency services, then the proposed restrictions will only move the problem to the next cul-de-sac. This has not caused a problem since the houses were built in 1968 I therefore would welcome the reasons for this change,
Plan 3	Maple Walk, Keynsham	X				I would like to object to the proposed double yellow lines in the Maple Walk area of Keynsham. This is a very small cul de sac with restricted parking as things stand. The allocated garages are too small to accommodate many current vehicles and to reduce the on street parking for local residents seems to make no sense.
Plan 7	Temple Street, Keynsham	X				I am a resident of South Block Riverside on Temple Street Keynsham. I just parked my car opposite the building in Carpenters Road and noticed a sign attached to the lamp post. It stated notice given to make Temple Street a no parking area. I whole hearted reject to this proposal. I would never have bought my flat if I had known this was likely to happen. Having parking directly outside the building is handy for loading/unloading shopping etc and my parents are not extremely mobile so having the opportunity for them to park close to the building when visiting is helpful. Moving into the building would've been a nightmare had I not been able to park outside. Temple Street is not just a place of business, people live here and pay council tax so please think about our needs rather than just the needs of visitors you want to attract.
Plan 6	The Park, Keynsham		X			I am writing to support the proposal of no parking or stopping for vehicles in the road named The Park along the section leading up to the entrance to Keynsham Park. My reason for supporting this is that I have great concerns for the safety of my family and for pedestrians walking from the park along the road The Park. There have been countless numbers of occasions where my driveway has been blocked by cars parking across my drive or parking in the middle of the road. The road in front of my house is not wide enough for two cars to pass so any vehicles parked in the Rd completely block the way in or out. I have great concerns for the safety of my family if there were to be the need for an emergency services vehicle to get down the road as cars parked would mean no way of a fire engine or ambulance getting down. Cars also park in front of the gated entrance to Keynsham park which I feel is dangerous as again an ambulance or fire engine would not be able to get into the park if there where an emergency. During the summer months the problem escalates and there have been times where I have been verbally abused by people parked blocking the whole road or my drive. Unfortunately a lot of the time this has been drivers who have a disabled badge who say they can park in the middle of the road if they wish, one such driver tried to do a three point turn outside of my gates and actually hit my gates that were shut at the time as the road is not wide enough to do a three point turn. There is more than enough parking in the Station Rd car park and further down the road where it is wider and two cars can pass safely. There is also more than enough places for Disabled Badge holders to park around Keynsham Park and Keynsham itself without needing to endanger my family and visitors to the park or cause me and visitors to my home huge inconvenience being blocked in. There is also a huge car park at the other entrance, Avon Mill Lane for people so there is absolutely no need to park in the narrow Rd around my house. I would be so grateful if this proposal of no parking or stopping for vehicles including Blue Badge holders could be implemented on the Rd called The Park after the Station Rd car park leading up to the park entrance.
Total:		10	1	0	0	