OFFICER DECISION REPORT – TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER (TRO)

1

INFORMAL CONSULTATION

PREPARED BY: Traffic Management Team, Highways and Transport Group

TITLE OF REPORT:	RPZ Entry Hill
PROPOSAL:	Various Waiting and Loading and Parking Restrictions
SCHEME REF No:	22 – 022
REPORT AUTHOR:	Phill Batty (Aecom)

1. DELEGATION

The delegation to be exercised in this report is contained within **Part 3**, **Section 4** of the Constitution under the **Delegation of Functions to Officers**, as follows:

Section A	The Chief Executive, Strategic Directors, Divisional Directors and Heads of Service have delegated power to take any decision falling within their area of responsibility"	
Section B	Without prejudice to the generality of this, Officers are authorised to: serve any notices and make, amend or revoke any orders falling within his/her area of responsibility.	
Section D9	An Officer to whom a power, duty or function is delegated may nominate or authorise another Officer to exercise that power, duty or function, provided that Officer reports to or is responsible to the delegator.	

For the purposes of this report, the Director of Place Management holds the delegated power to make, amend or revoke any Orders.

2. <u>LEGAL AUTHORITY</u>

This proposal is made in accordance with the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, which under Section 1 provides, generally, for Orders to be made for the following reasons, and in the case of this report specifically for the reason(s) shown below:

(a)	for avoiding danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or for preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising, or	Х
(b)	for preventing damage to the road or to any building on or near the road, or	
(c)	for facilitating the passage on the road or any other road of any class of traffic (including pedestrians), or	Х
(d)	for preventing the use of the road by vehicular traffic of a kind which, or its use by vehicular traffic in a manner which, is unsuitable having regard to the existing character of the road or adjoining property,	
(e)	(without prejudice to the generality of paragraph (d) above) for preserving the character of the road in a case where it is specially suitable for use by persons on horseback or on foot, or	

(f)	for preserving or improving the amenities of the area through which the road runs, or	Х
(g)	for any of the purposes specified in paragraphs (a) to (c) of subsection (1) of section 87 of the Environment Act 1995 (air quality)	

3. PROPOSAL

To implement various parking, waiting and loading restrictions, including designated parking bays reserved for disabled badge holders only and permit holders only.

4. BACKGROUND

Bath and North East Somerset Council's Traffic Management Team has been developing with the support of local Ward Councillors and in relation to the Councils policy to improve the parking situation for local residents and help communities to create healthier, safer streets (Low Traffic Neighbourhood Strategy – July 2020 & Residents' Parking Schemes July 2020) a scheme to introduce a Residents' Parking Zone (RPZ) covering the Entry Hill area; An area which includes part of the east side of Wellsway A367, Entry Hill, Entry Hill Gardens, Lynbrook Lane, Entry Hill Drive, Entry Hill Park, Ivy Bank Park, Longthorne Place, and part of Hawthorn Grove, Bath.

This RPZ will aim to prioritise on-street parking for residents and provide accessible parking near social hubs within the area including places of worship, and local businesses.

The implementation of the new RPZ will deter parking by non-residents who may currently use the area to park and commute into the City Centre or other facilities in the neighbouring areas where parking may be limited, restricted, or charged for. The initial proposal was produced as a draft to be shared with the public during a 28-day public consultation. The consultation took place between the 5th May to 2nd June.

A virtual online event [2 May 2022 from 4pm to 8pm], and an in-person event [25th May 2022 from 4pm to 8pm at St Luke's Church] were held to provide further information and enable consultees to talk to an advisor, view the proposal plans, ask questions, and submit a questionnaire.

In total, there were 186 responses to the proposed Residents Parking Zone. 184 of these came through the online questionnaire with two replying by letter or email. 139 responses were from within the proposed Zone with a further 47 from outside the area, one respondent did not state their location.

Over half (61%) of all respondents' object to the proposals for the Residents Parking Zone with just under a quarter (22%) supporting them. A quarter (25%) of those who live in the Parking Zone support the proposals and just over half (57%) object to them. There were differences in the levels of support shown for the proposals, just over half (58%) of respondents who rate the current parking provision as bad supported the plans, compared to 1% of those who currently feel current parking provision is good.

Whilst overall support for the scheme is low, it is the opinion of the local Ward Councillors' that support does exist for a scheme which covers a smaller area encompassing the northern end of Entry Hill and adjacent streets only albeit with some further amendments.

Amendments to be made:

1) Confirmation that the triangle of properties bound by Wellsway, Devonshire Villas and Greenway Lane to be added to the existing Zone 18.

- 2) Proposed bay on Wellsway to be extended to supersede a section of the DY o/s 133.
- 3) Greenway Crescent properties to be changed from Bear Flat Zone to new Entry Hill Zone
- 4) Revise RPZ boundary on Entry Hill to its junction with Longthorne Place, on Wellsway up to and to include property 243.
- 5) Entry Hill Gardens to be signed as a permit parking area.
- 6) Lynbrook Lane to be signed as a permit parking area.
- 7) Bay outside properties 145-155 Wellsway to be signed for use by resident permit holders only rather than the dual-use.
- 8) Entry Hill proposed bay outside property 12 to be removed from the proposals.
- 9) Entry Hill proposed bay outside property Brishella to be dual-use.

5. <u>SOURCE OF FINANCE</u>

This proposal is being funded by RPZ capital budget TCRP001.

6. <u>CONSULTATION REQUIREMENT</u>

The proposal requires informal consultation with the Chief Constable, Ward Members and the Cabinet Members for Transport.

PROPOSAL(S) APPROVED FOR INFORMAL CONSULTATION WITH THE CHIEF CONSTABLE AND WARD MEMBERS.

6 leant

Gary Peacock Head of Highways, Parking and Passenger Transport

Date:17th Aug 2022





