

## Bath City Centre Security

Project Progress Meeting, Tuesday 15<sup>th</sup> December 2020

Attendees	
Simon Thomas	Anne-Marie Strong
Lynda Deane	Paul Garrod
Steve Froggatt	Daniel Noad
Gary Peacock	
Wendy Maden	

### Notes

- **Actions from Previous Meeting**
  - York St/ Archway Project - Felt that a further piece of work in relation to assessment of the weak structure is now required together with an assessment of risk to users within the vaults.
  - York St – User risk assessment required for the street, together with an assessment of weak structure and users within the vaults. How will this be accommodated within the TRO's?
  - Temporary bollards prevent access to weak structure need to be made permanent, together with Swallow St.
  - Approach speeds consideration for minor streets e.g. The Corridor/ N'umberland Passage – **Outstanding**. To be considered as part of the design process and brought back to steering group.
  - Roman Baths event bookings in 2020/21.
- **Stakeholder Consultation**
  - Initial round of consultation with internal B&NES Teams now complete. Further consideration required in some areas e.g. Planning & Heritage
  - Further meeting required with Independent Equality Advisory Group and St Michaels Day Centre to understand any feedback on temporary access restrictions.
  - Further discussion with Police CTSA's on the ATTRO timings.
  - VT/ AB – managing stakeholder stakeholder consultation, ST/ SF fielding questions where required
  - Pan-disability study currently being managed through VT.
- **Design Update**
  - Extensive engagement with Heritage Team through a series of workshops and on-site meeting. ST/ SF and WM leading.
  - GP asks why Planning Application req'd? Permitted Development? SF explains it's the only way that we can start engagement process with Planning Team. ST explains that it is an iterative process for design of each location.
  - Acknowledged that sliding bollard design by Heald cannot be changed, but colour can be black, which is acceptable.
  - Preference for black 'Manchester' style bollards for statics. In discussion with the industry potential for similar profile and dimensions but only at 40mph approach speed, otherwise scales increases.
  - The design team asks the steering groups whether it would consider a downgrade in rating for static bollards from 50mph to 40mph if the aesthetic properties could be achieved? Essentially the bollard would still disable both vehicle and occupant (fatally), but possibility of greater penetration distance. Sliding bollards should remain the same as the intended approach speed. Risk assessment and agreement by CTSA's and senior management to procure on this basis.
  - Brief put out to industry on above – SafetyFlex, scale still unmanageable. Marshalls sleeve option could be okay. Awaiting ATG response.
  - Cheap St options being discussed with Heritage Team.
  - Proposed form of signage and internal TRO within the pedestrian zones - advice from DfT as it is felt that proposal will require a departure from TSRGD 2016. **Outstanding**
  - Site investigation in February 2020 for Cheap St/ UBW and dropping back on to York St after Great Drain Works. Hot Bath St and Lower Borough thereafter. All static bollard sites to follow.
  - Require further work on loading outside of pedestrian zones e.g. Westgate Buildings and impact of loading on Terrace Walk.
  - Separate programme for the design element to chart against the consultation programme. **ST**

- **Project Costs (Capital & Revenue) & Financial Governance**
  - Capital cost (phases 1-4) – circa £2.8M
  - Revenue costs – circa £110k/ annum.
  - 2019/20 Financial headlines – Budget £673,884; Spend – £170,478 (£61,992 transaction???)
  - £550,000 c/f into 2020/21 less £46,593 spend above budget leaving £503,407
  - £385,000 was then rephased into 2021/22, leaving £118,406
  - 2020/21 Financial headlines – Budget £118,406; Spend (to date) - £52,723
  - Draft amended “Project Plan” gateway to include various approvals, particularly those around HVM design and designer’s risk assessments.
  
- **Programme**
  - Indicative programme in place for workstreams up to construction stage - [..\01 Project Management\City Centre Programme Rev F 240920.xlsx](#)
  
- **Other Project Priorities**
  - York St/ Archway Project
    - A full street closure proposal has been put forward for the following reasons: -
    - Weak highway structure at the western end of the street. A further piece of work is required in relation to assessment is now required together with an assessment of risk to users within the vaults.
    - inability for larger vehicles to turn around, requiring them to reverse.
    - The number of visitors is expected to rise as a result of the Learning Resource Centre, comprising mainly groups of school children.
    - Table and chair licenses now in place for several businesses.
  - Great Drain works and future maintenance.
  
- **A.O.B**
  - Application for Access administrative role.
  - Christmas Day restriction. Discussion with PG over signing
  - WM to speak to businesses regarding York St TH's after Great Drain works early March.
  - GP confirms full project funding of £2.3M until 2021/22.
  - Accessibility consultant appointed 16/12/20.
  
- **Date of next meeting**
  - 20<sup>th</sup> January 2021 @ 11am